



Proponent's Environmental Assessment for Southern California Edison Company's Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161 kiloVolt Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project Volume 4

July 31, 2024 (PEA submittal date)

Remove existing subtransmission structures and conductors, install new subtransmission structures and conductors on existing distribution and subtransmission circuits.

The Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161 kiloVolt Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project would be located in Riverside County and the City of Blythe within the State of California.

Application A.24-XX-XX to the California Public Utilities Commission

*Prepared by Rincon Consultants, Inc.
180 North Ashwood Avenue
Ventura, California 93003
Lindsey Sarquilla
Project Manager
(805) 869-1672
lsarquilla@rinconconsultants.com*

*Prepared for Southern California Edison Company
2 Innovation Way, 3rd Floor
Pomona, California 91768
Nora Harris
Major Construction Senior Project Manager
(626) 418-9454
Nora.Harris@sce.com*

Volume 4 - Table of Contents

Chapter 6 Comparison of Alternatives.....	6-1
Chapter 7 Cumulative Impacts and Other CEQA Considerations	7-1
7.1 Cumulative Impacts	7-1
7.1.1 List of Cumulative Projects	7-2
7.1.2 Geographic Scope.....	7-2
7.1.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	7-2
7.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts	7-17
7.2.1 Would the Project either directly or indirectly, foster economic or population growth or the construction of additional housing in the surrounding area?.....	7-17
7.2.2 Would the Project remove obstacles to population growth?.....	7-17
7.2.3 Would the Project require the construction of new community facilities that could cause significant environmental effects?	7-17
7.2.4 Would the Project encourage or facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment, either individually or cumulatively?	7-18
Chapter 8 List of Preparers	8-1
8.1 List of Preparers.....	8-1
Southern California Edison.....	8-1
Rincon Consultants, Inc.....	8-1
Insignia Environmental.....	8-1
Chapter 9 References.....	9-1
9.1 Reference List	9-1
9.1.1 Project Description.....	9-1
9.1.2 Aesthetics	9-1
9.1.3 Agriculture and Forestry Resources.....	9-2
9.1.4 Air Quality	9-2
9.1.5 Biological Resources	9-3
9.1.6 Cultural Resources	9-7
9.1.7 Energy.....	9-14
9.1.8 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources.....	9-15
9.1.9 Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	9-16
9.1.10 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	9-17
9.1.11 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	9-18
9.1.12 Land Use and Planning.....	9-19
9.1.13 Mineral Resources	9-19
9.1.14 Noise	9-20
9.1.15 Population and Housing.....	9-21

Table of Contents

9.1.16	Public Services.....	9-22
9.1.17	Recreation	9-22
9.1.18	Transportation.....	9-23
9.1.19	Tribal Cultural Resources	9-24
9.1.20	Utilities and Service Systems.....	9-25
9.1.21	Wildfire.....	9-26
9.1.22	Cumulative and Other CEQA	9-27

Volume 4 - List of Tables

Table 7-1	List of Cumulative Projects within 2 Miles of the Project.....	7-4
-----------	--	-----

Volume 4 - List of Figures

Figure 7.1-1	Cumulative Projects.....	7-3
--------------	--------------------------	-----

Chapter 6 Comparison of Alternatives

The CPUC’s PEA Guidelines (*Guidelines for Energy Project Applications Requiring CEQA Compliance: Pre-filing and Proponent’s Environmental Assessments*, dated November 2019; page 40) states, “All Applicants will assume that alternatives will be required for the environmental analysis and that an EIR will be prepared unless otherwise instructed by CPUC CEQA Unit Staff in writing prior to application filing.” As discussed, the Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161 kiloVolt Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project (EM-B Project) involves remediation of clearance discrepancies on existing subtransmission infrastructure within an established EM-B Project alignment. Based upon SCE’s analysis, no potentially significant impacts were identified and, following consultation with CPUC Energy Division, SCE is not proposing alternatives at this time. In addition, because the EM-B Project involves reconductoring portions of existing subtransmission lines rather than the construction of new subtransmission lines, alternatives that would substantially deviate from the existing alignment (i.e. alternative routes or locations) were not considered. Moreover, SCE has received written instruction on September 29, 2023 from CPUC that an alternatives analysis is not required for this PEA; therefore, this PEA does not include a comparison of alternatives.

This page intentionally left blank.

Chapter 7 Cumulative Impacts and Other CEQA Considerations

This Chapter presents the results of a cumulative impacts analysis for the Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161 kiloVolt (kV) Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project (Project) and an analysis of the potential growth-inducing impacts associated with the Project.

7.1 Cumulative Impacts

This chapter analyzes the potential cumulative impacts related to the Project. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires lead agencies to consider the cumulative impacts of proposals under their review. CEQA Guidelines Section 15355 defines cumulative impacts as “two or more individual effects which, when considered together, are considerable or which compound or increase other environmental impacts.” According to CEQA Guidelines Section 15130(a)(1), “a cumulative impact consists of an impact which is created as a result of the combination of the project evaluated in the EIR together with other projects causing related impacts.” According to Section 15130(b)(5), the cumulative impacts analysis should “examine reasonable, feasible options for mitigating or avoiding the project’s contribution to any significant cumulative effects.”

CEQA Guidelines Section 15130(a)(3) also states that an environmental document may determine that a project’s contribution to a significant cumulative impact would be rendered less-than-cumulatively considerable, and thus not significant, if a project is required to implement or fund its fair share of mitigation measure(s) designed to alleviate the cumulative impact.

In conducting a cumulative impacts analysis, the proper frame of reference is the temporal span and spatial areas in which the Project would cause impacts. In addition, Section 15130(b)(1) requires that a discussion of cumulative impacts must include either:

- A list of past, present, and probable future projects, including, if necessary, those outside the lead agency’s control; or
- A summary of projections contained in an adopted local, regional, or statewide plan—or related planning document—that describes or evaluates conditions contributing to the cumulative effect. Such plans may include a general plan, regional transportation plan, or plans for the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. A summary of projections may also be contained in an adopted or certified prior environmental document for such a plan. Such projections may be supplemented with additional information, such as a regional modeling program. Any such document will be referenced and made available to the public at a location specified by the lead agency.

The following subsections discuss whether the Project could result in significant short-term or long-term environmental impacts when combined with present, planned, and probable future projects in the area. Short-term impacts are generally associated with construction of the Project and cumulative projects, while long-term impacts are those that result from operations and maintenance (O&M) of the Project features or O&M of the cumulative projects.

7.1.1 List of Cumulative Projects

Review of the State Clearinghouse Office and Planning and Research CEQAnet, California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Current Project webpage, California Department of Transportation Project Portal, County of Riverside Planning Commission website, and U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management (BLM) National NEPA Register revealed several present and probable future projects located within 2 miles of the Project. The present and probable future projects are listed in Table 7-1, along with a brief description, the jurisdiction in which it is located, distance from the Project Alignment, status, and anticipated construction schedule. These projects are shown on Figure 7.1-1.

7.1.2 Geographic Scope

The geographic scope of analysis for each resource topic is constrained to those areas where work associated with the Project would be performed or, for aesthetics, those areas where work associated with the Project would be visible.

7.1.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis

The subsections that follow analyze the potential cumulative impacts from Project construction by resource area. Implementation of the Project would not change the requirement for existing O&M activities for the facilities along the Project Alignment; as a result, these ongoing O&M activities would not contribute to any cumulative impacts and are not discussed further.

7.1.3.1 Aesthetics

The Project Area and vicinity (2-mile radius) in which Cumulative Projects are located contains desert views and scenic vistas comprised of mountain ranges that are interrupted by horizontal and vertical features related to existing solar and other utility infrastructure. The Project would increase the height of existing infrastructure, increase the spacing between poles, increase the diameter of some poles, and convert some pole material from wood to steel, but it would not introduce new vertical features where none currently exist. In addition, immediately north of the Eagle Mountain Substation, the Project would include the removal of approximately nine structures which would not be replaced. The majority of the Cumulative Projects described in Table 7-1 would introduce new solar facilities, battery energy storage system (BESS) infrastructure, and utility infrastructure to the landscape that would be consistent with the existing solar and utility infrastructure in the area. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to cumulatively considerable impacts on scenic vistas.

As discussed in Section 5.1, Aesthetics, the Project would have no impact on scenic resources. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable scenic resources-related impact.

Figure 7.1-1 Cumulative Projects



Table 7-1 List of Cumulative Projects within 2 Miles of the Project

Project	Description	Location	Approximate Distance to the Project	Status	Anticipated Construction Schedule
Easley Renewable Energy Project	Construction of a solar photovoltaic facility, battery energy storage system (BESS), and appurtenant facilities on approximately 3,735 acres of private and Bureau of Land Management- (BLM-) administered land. A 6.7-mile-long 500 kV generation tie (gen-tie) transmission line would connect to an existing substation on the Oberon Solar Project Site. The project would generate up to 400 megawatts (MW) of energy and store up to 650 MW.	Riverside County; Approximately 2 miles north of the town of Desert Center in the western portion of the Project Alignment between Rice Road and Kaiser Road.	0 mile	Planning	Unknown
Sapphire Solar and Storage Project	Construction of a 117 MW solar facility, BESS, and associated facilities on approximately 1,192 acres of private and BLM-administered land.	Riverside County; Approximately 5 miles north of Desert Center and in the western portion of the Project Alignment between Rice Road and Kaiser Road.	0 mile	Planning	Unknown
Desert Quartzsite Solar Project	Construction of a 450 MW solar photovoltaic facility, 230 kV gen-tie line, and related infrastructure on approximately 3,616 acres of BLM land.	Riverside County; Approximately 3 miles southwest of the western extent of the City of Blythe and within the eastern portion of the Project Alignment along 15th Avenue between two unnamed access roads of an existing unit of the Blythe Solar Project.	0 mile	Under Construction	February 2023 to December 2024
Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project	Construction of two reservoirs, two dams, water conveyance tunnels, surge control facilities, an underground powerhouse, a 13.5-mile 500 kV transmission line, water supply facilities, access roads, and appurtenant facilities on 2,364 acres.	Riverside County; Approximately 12 miles northwest of Desert Center and in the western portion of the Project Alignment.	0 mile	Planning	Unknown

Project	Description	Location	Approximate Distance to the Project	Status	Anticipated Construction Schedule
Sunlight Storage II Battery Energy Storage System	Construction of a 300-MW BESS facility and associated infrastructure, gen-tie line, and access roads on approximately 30 acres of land.	Riverside County; Approximately 6 miles north of Desert Center and northeast of the western portion of the Project Alignment.	0.3 mile	Planning	Unknown
Caltrans State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) ID 22909	Upgrading water and sewer systems at Wiley’s Well Safety Roadside Rest Areas and replacing water system along Route 10.	Riverside County; Approximately 10 miles east of Mesa Verde along Route 10 and south of the western portion of the Project Alignment.	0.4 mile	Planning	Fiscal year 2026 to 2027
Ten West Link Transmission Line Project	Installation of a 125-mile 500 kV transmission line between the Delaney Substation and the Colorado River Substation.	Riverside County in California and Maricopa and La Paz counties in Arizona; Spanning the Delaney Substation in Tonopah, Arizona and the Colorado River Substation in Blythe, California and south of the eastern portion of the Project Alignment.	2 miles	Under Construction	January 2023 to early 2024
Structure Repair for Red Cloud Mill CA-RIV-1219	Repairs to a structure at the Red Cloud Mill archaeological site. Repairs would include a door frame, window frame, and stabilization of a stone structure.	Riverside County; North of Desert Center east of the intersection of Oasis Road and Rice Road and southwest of the western portion of the Project Alignment.	2 miles	Planning	Unknown

Sources: BLM 2024, California Department of Transportation 2024, EDF Renewables North America 2024a, EDF Renewables North America 2024b, Riverside County Planning Department 2024a, State Water Resources Control Board 2013, Sunlight Storage II, LLC 2023, Ten West Link 2022

Temporary construction-related visual impacts could result from the presence of heavy equipment, materials, and work crews along the Project and traveling to and from the laydown yards. Vegetation removal and access road rehabilitation may be required, but no new access roads would be installed. Construction of the Project is expected to take approximately 8 months to complete in total; however, the duration of construction activities at any one location along the Project would be considerably shorter. Visual impacts from the Project construction could combine with visual impacts from the construction of the Cumulative Projects, but it would be for a duration shorter than 8 months at any given location and the primary affected viewers would be motorists along Interstate (I-) 10 and nearby roadways who would only briefly view the Project construction and any Cumulative Project construction in passing. As discussed in Section 5.1, Aesthetics, lasting visual change from the Project would be negligible as the height and width differences in the replacement poles compared to the existing poles would be minimal and the implementation of applicant proposed measure (APM) AES-1 would use non reflective finishes on subtransmission structures, non-specular and non-reflective subtransmission conductors, and non-specular and non-reflective subtransmission insulators to reduce glare and minimize contrast. Such minor modifications would not combine with the lasting effects of the Cumulative Projects to create a significant cumulative impact on the surrounding visual character. Therefore, the Project would only have an incremental contribution to cumulatively considerable impacts on the surrounding visual character.

The Project would not introduce permanent sources of light and glare. During construction, lighting may be used periodically near the end of a workday as the sun sets. If lighting is required at a construction area, SCE would use the minimum lighting necessary, orient lighting downwards, and shield lighting to eliminate off-site light spill. As result, spill of lighting off-site would be minimal and unlikely to combine with any lighting from the Cumulative Projects. SCE would implement APM AES-1, which would involve the use of non-reflective finishes on all new subtransmission structures, non-specular and non-reflective subtransmission conductors, and non-reflective and non-refractive insulators, which would reduce the potential for glare to be produced by these Project components. It is anticipated that the Cumulative Projects would implement similar measures to minimize glare. For instance, the Sunlight Storage II Battery Energy System would ensure its colors and finishes do not create excessive glare (Sunlight Storage II, LLC 2023). Therefore, Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on light and glare.

7.1.3.2 *Agriculture and Forestry Resources*

As discussed in Section 5.2, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, the Project would result in no impacts for all agriculture-related CEQA criteria; therefore, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable agriculture-related impact. The Project Area and vicinity (2-mile radius) in which Cumulative Projects are located do not contain any forestry resources or uses; and Cumulative Projects are anticipated to have no forestry-related impacts. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to forestry resources.

7.1.3.3 *Air Quality*

As presented in Section 5.3, Air Quality, the Project would have no impact in terms of conflicting with or obstructing implementation of an applicable air quality plan, and thus would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable impact.

Emissions during the construction phase would include criteria air pollutants (CAPs) that could contribute to existing or projected violations of the ambient air quality standards for ozone. During construction, uncontrolled nitrogen oxide emissions would exceed South Coast Air Quality Management District

(SCAQMD) thresholds. The Project would implement APMs AIR-1 and AIR-2 which would reduce construction emissions below all applicable thresholds. All Cumulative Projects would need to implement measures to control pollutant emissions, similar to the APMs that would be implemented for the Project. As a result, a cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant is not anticipated.

Sensitive receptors in the Project vicinity could be exposed to increases in CAPs and Valley Fever as a result of the fugitive dust released during earth-moving activities and vehicle travel on unpaved roads. Residences in the Mesa Verde Nicholls Warm Spring community are within 2,000 feet of the existing right-of-way and sensitive receptors are also located approximately 330 feet east of Eagle Mountain Substation. Because construction would be completed across multiple sites along the Project Alignment, actual emissions at a single site near a sensitive receptor would be lower than overall Project emissions in most cases. Furthermore, the Project would control fugitive dust emissions through complying with Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) Rule 403, SCAQMD Rule 403, and implementing APM AIR-2. Of the Cumulative Projects, only Ten West Link Transmission Line Project and Desert Quartzsite Solar Project would be within the vicinity of the Mesa Verde Nicholls Warm Spring community. The construction timeline of the Ten West Link Transmission Project is not anticipated to overlap construction of the Project, and the construction timeline of the Desert Quartzsite Solar Project may only briefly overlap with construction of the Project. Thus, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable increase in fugitive dust emissions that could impact the Mesa Verde Nicholls Warm Spring community. Many of the Cumulative Projects would either not be in the immediate vicinity of the sensitive receptors near the Eagle Mountain Substation or the construction timelines of the Cumulative Projects would not overlap or only briefly overlap with the construction of the Project. Construction of the Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project would occur across an over 2,000-acre area and thus overlap with the construction of the Project Alignment in the vicinity of the sensitive receptors near the Eagle Mountain Substation would be unlikely. As a result, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable impact.

The Project's less-than-significant impacts related to other emissions, such as those leading to objectionable odors, would not contribute to a cumulative impact because the pollutants and odors disperse rapidly with distance, and because few of the identified Cumulative Projects would overlap the Project's construction work space in proximity to a potential receptor. As a result, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable increase in other emissions that could impact sensitive receptors.

7.1.3.4 Biological Resources

The geographical area evaluated for cumulative impacts to biological resources includes areas directly affected by construction as well as adjacent habitat potentially affected by construction activities.

Construction could affect plant, amphibian, reptilian, avian, and mammalian species identified as special-status and non-listed special-status species; Cumulative Projects would have the potential for similar effects where those projects' activities occur in the presence or habitat of these species. As discussed in Section 5.4, Biological Resources, all impacts associated with the Project would be reduced to a less-than-significant level with the implementation of APMs. Impacts to sensitive species and habitats during construction would be temporary and intermittent in nature (lasting only as long as construction work at a given site) and would be limited in their potential geographic scope. Each of the Cumulative Project proponents would be expected to comply with federal and state regulations promulgated for the protection of sensitive species. Therefore, no cumulatively considerable impacts to sensitive species or their habitats would be anticipated.

No impact would occur to state or federally protected wetlands, and, therefore, no cumulatively considerable impacts to state or federally protected wetlands would occur. Temporary impacts may occur to Waters of the State. The Project would obtain all applicable permits for impacts to jurisdictional waters and implement APMs to reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level. Cumulative Projects would similarly be required to obtain all applicable permits for impacts to jurisdictional waters, including restoration and mitigation conditions to reduce adverse impacts to these features. Due to the lack of state or federally protected wetlands in the Project Area, compliance with applicable regulations protecting state waters, and SCE's implementation of APMs, no cumulatively considerable impact to state or federal jurisdictional wetlands and waters is anticipated.

Construction of the Project would temporarily affect only small, geographically dispersed areas at any one time, resulting in temporarily altered movement paths for individual wildlife, and would not create substantial barriers to movement. As discussed in Section 5.4, Biological Resources, native wildlife nursery sites are not known to occur within the study area associated with the Project. Project construction would be unlikely to occur at the same time and place as the Cumulative Projects for extended periods of time due to the dispersed nature of the Project construction. As a result, the Project construction would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on the movement of wildlife. New poles and lines, however, could result in a risk of collisions for birds, interfering with the movement of individuals or flocks. To avoid impacts to avian movement from new structures, all new and replacement structures and conductor for the Project would be designed to follow the intent of Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006 and, where determined to be high risk, lines would be marked with collision reduction devices in accordance with Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2012, reducing potential impacts to avian movement to a less than significant level. Furthermore, the permanent footprint of many of the Cumulative Projects would far exceed the permanent footprint of the Project (e.g., The Easley Renewable Energy Project, Sapphire Solar Project, Desert Quartzsite Solar Project, and Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project would each be sited on several thousands of acres). Therefore, the Project would only have an incremental contribution to cumulative impacts on the movement of wildlife.

The County of Riverside General Plan and City of Blythe General Plan contain several policies intended to protect biological resources, including sensitive natural communities, special-status species, riparian habitat and wetlands, wildlife corridors, and to protect against the spread or introduction of noxious weed species. Implementation of general biological resources and species-specific APMs would ensure compliance with the plans. Cumulative Projects would similarly be expected to comply with local policies, ordinances, and the conditions of applicable permits. Therefore, no cumulatively considerable impacts due to conflicts with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources are anticipated.

There are no adopted Habitat Conservation Plans or Natural Community Conservation Plans within the study area, and no known approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plans covering the Project Alignment. Therefore, no cumulatively considerable impacts would occur under this criterion.

7.1.3.5 Cultural Resources

As discussed in Section 5.5, Cultural Resources, it is anticipated that SCE would either avoid or not impact the cultural resources identified by the cultural resource records searches and pedestrian surveys. SCE would implement APMs CUL-1 through CUL-4 to reduce potential impacts to previously undiscovered archaeological historical resources. Prior to construction, SCE would implement APM CUL-1, which includes the preparation and implementation of a Cultural Resources Management Plan. All potentially archaeologically historically sensitive sites within the area of potential effect would be considered

environmentally sensitive areas and avoided per APM CUL-2. Construction of the Easley Renewable Energy Project, Sapphire Solar and Storage Project, Desert Quartzsite Solar Project, and Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project, may temporarily and spatially overlap with construction of the Project. The Cumulative Project proponents would be expected to comply with state law relating to cultural resources. With implementation of APMs, the Project's contribution to any cumulative impacts would be less than significant and would not be cumulatively considerable.

7.1.3.6 Energy

As presented in Section 5.6, Energy, the Project would result in no or less-than-significant impacts under all energy-related CEQA criteria. Construction of the Cumulative Projects would, like the Project, consume energy resources during construction; the proponents of the Cumulative Projects are anticipated, like SCE, not to waste, unnecessarily use, or inefficiently consume energy resources. Furthermore, the Project would result in no impact with respect to conflicts with or obstruction of a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency or add the capacity for the purpose of serving a non-renewable energy source. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable impact.

7.1.3.7 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources

Geological hazards are generally site-specific and depend on localized geologic and soil conditions. As discussed in Section 5.7, Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources, no impact would result related to rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic-ground failure (including liquefaction), expansive soils, and septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable impact related to these CEQA criteria.

The Project would have a less-than-significant impact related to loss, injury, or death involving strong seismic ground shaking or landslides. Additionally, the Project would have a less-than-significant impact on lateral spreading, subsidence, or collapse. SCE would comply with applicable laws, regulations, ordinances, and permits pertaining to structural design, geotechnical analysis, and erosion control and would implement best management practices (BMPs), a storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP), and APMs where applicable. It is expected that those engaged in the construction of all Cumulative Projects would similarly comply with applicable regulations relevant to geologic and soil resources and risks. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to any cumulatively considerable impact.

Portions of the Project are located on geologic units with a high paleontological potential. SCE would implement APMs PAL-1 through PAL-3, which involve preparation and implementation of a Paleontological Resource Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, construction personnel training on procedures to implement in the event of a discovery of paleontological materials, and paleontological monitoring in high sensitivity areas during Project construction. Each of the Cumulative Projects would be required to undergo the appropriate level of project-specific environmental review, and proponents would be expected to comply with state and federal laws relating to paleontological resources. With implementation of APMs, the Project's contribution to any cumulative impacts would be less than significant and would not be cumulatively considerable.

7.1.3.8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

As presented in Section 5.8, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Project construction would generate emissions of GHGs from on-site construction equipment and worker trips. Over the entire construction period of the Project, approximately 3,574 metric tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) equivalents (MTCO_{2e}) would be emitted.

When amortized over a 30-year period, the increase in annual GHG emissions from the Project would be approximately 119 MTCO₂e annually. These emissions would fall well below the SCAQMD and the MDAQMD numerical thresholds of significance. Therefore, the Project would not generate, either directly or indirectly, GHG emissions that would have a significant impact on the environment. As a result, the Project's contribution to any cumulative impacts would not be cumulatively considerable and would be less than significant.

GHG emissions from construction of the Project would fall well below the established numerical threshold of significance. Therefore, the Project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation and would not contribute to a cumulative impact resulting from any Cumulative Project's conflicts with such plans, policies, or regulations.

7.1.3.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials

The geographic scope for hazardous materials impacts includes areas near Project Sites that could be affected by a release of hazardous materials. Impacts from such releases are usually site-specific and localized. The geographic scope also includes areas affected by the Cumulative Projects listed in Table 7-1, including downgradient air, water bodies, groundwater, and areas subject to wildland fire hazards. Materials delivery routes are also included to account for the potential impacts from a traffic accident-related spill.

Construction of the Project would result in less-than-significant impacts associated with the transport, use, disposal, or foreseeable upset of, or accidents involving, hazardous materials during construction with implementation of APMs. Although construction work areas included under the Project may spatially overlap areas where work would occur under some of the Cumulative Projects, Cumulative Projects would be expected to adhere to all applicable laws and regulations to reduce the potential impacts from use of hazardous materials from those projects to less than significant level. Therefore, there would be no cumulatively considerable impacts related to the transport, use, disposal or upset involving hazardous materials.

The Project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment with implementation of APMs. Although construction work areas included under the Project may spatially overlap areas where work would occur under some of the Cumulative Projects, it is anticipated that Cumulative Projects would comply with applicable laws and regulations to reduce impacts from accidental release of hazardous materials. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulative impact from the release of hazardous materials.

The Project would not occur within 0.25 mile of a school, and thus would not contribute to a cumulative impact related to this criterion.

Several Leaking Underground Storage Tanks and Cleanup Program sites are located within 0.25 mile of the Project, and all but one of these sites have a status of Completed – Case Closed. One site within 0.25 mile of the Blythe Laydown Yard has a status of Open – Inactive. Only minor grading, fencing, and rocking is proposed, and no Cumulative Projects identified in Table 7-1 would be within 0.25 mile of the open site. Furthermore, implementation of APM HAZ-1 and APM HAZ-2 would ensure that unanticipated soil contamination is appropriately handled and disposed of, and it is anticipated that the Cumulative Projects would adhere to similar best practices. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulative impact from the creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment.

Airports within 2 miles of the Project Alignment include Blythe Airport, Desert Center Airport, and the Eagle Mountain Pumping Plant Airstrip (privately owned by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California). The Federal Aviation Administration states that proposed objects taller than 70 feet in Compatibility Zones C and D and taller than 100 feet in Compatibility Zone E of the airport influence areas (AIAs) present potential airspace obstruction issues. One proposed replacement structure of the Project would be approximately 72 feet tall (exceeding the height standard by 2 feet) in Compatibility Zone D of the Blythe Airport and would require review by the Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission as a potential airspace obstruction. Additionally, prior to construction, SCE would submit the required Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) pursuant to Title 14, Section 77.9 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). SCE would evaluate the FAA recommendations for reasonableness and feasibility. Additionally, construction activities would be performed at a distance from airport activity sufficient to minimize safety concerns to construction personnel. The Ten West Link Transmission Line Project Environmental Impact Statement concluded that no impact to the Blythe Airport would occur (BLM 2019a). The Desert Quartzsite Solar Project would be located within Compatibility Zone E of the Blythe Airport and would not construct structures greater than 100 feet in height within Compatibility Zone E (BLM 2019b). All other Cumulative Projects would be located outside of the Blythe Airport AIA. As a result, the Project would not combine with nearby projects to create a cumulatively considerable impact on safety hazards for people residing or working in the Project Area.

The Project may require temporary road and/or lane closures on public roads and would involve the movement of oversized vehicles that could affect emergency vehicle access to and along the Project Alignment. SCE would prepare and implement required traffic control plans in accordance with required encroachment permits. With encroachment permit implementation, potential hazards from road closures would be minimal and the Project would not impair or interfere with emergency response or evacuation. SCE would coordinate activities that would require temporary closures of road lanes with emergency response agencies. Furthermore, implementation of APM TRA-1 would notify emergency response agencies prior to construction and ensure all roads remain accessible to emergency service vehicles. The Cumulative Projects would be required by law to obtain necessary encroachment permits and coordinate road and lane closures with emergency response agencies. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.

The Project would implement a Fire Prevention and Emergency Response Plan to reduce the risk of fire ignition and spread. Additionally, the Project Area is sparsely populated, and it is anticipated that the Cumulative Projects would implement fire prevention practices and safety measures. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulative impact on the exposure of people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires.

As previously discussed, the Project would install a replacement structure that exceeds the 70-foot height limit for Blythe Airport Compatibility Zone D. SCE would submit the required Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration to the FAA pursuant to Title 14, Section 77.9 of the CFR and evaluate the FAA recommendations for reasonableness and feasibility. The Ten West Link Transmission Line Project and the Desert Quartzsite Solar Project would be located within the Blythe Airport AIA but are not expected to pose an impact or exceed the Compatibility Zone height standards. No other Cumulative Projects would be within the Blythe Airport AIA. As a result, the Project would not combine with nearby projects to create a cumulatively considerable air traffic hazard.

The Project would not use helicopters during construction, no unexploded ordnance (UXO) was identified in the Project Area, and the Project would comply with all regulations to reduce shock hazards, and thus would not contribute to a cumulative impact related to helicopter use, UXO, or excessive shock hazards.

7.1.3.10 Hydrology and Water Quality

The geographic context for the cumulative impacts associated with hydrology and water quality consists of the watersheds and groundwater basins presented in Section 5.10, Hydrology and Water Quality. All Cumulative Projects are located within the same watersheds and groundwater basins as the Project.

Ground-disturbing activities associated with Project construction could expose soils to erosion, and disturbed soil sediment could enter surface watercourses, resulting in increased turbidity and alteration of waterbody characteristics. Construction may involve the use of hazardous materials (e.g., vehicle fuel, hydraulic fluid, oil, grease, solvents, paint, drilling muds, and concrete) that could contaminate surface water or groundwater in the Project Area if spilled or otherwise discharged by accident to the ground surface. SCE would prepare a Project-specific SWPPP that includes waste and materials management BMPs and erosion and sediment control measures to minimize siltation. In addition, the Project would adhere to all other applicable water quality standards set forth by the Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board. As the majority of Cumulative Projects would result in disturbances of over 1 acre, these projects would also be required to prepare and adhere to SWPPPs that would outline BMPs for preventing degradation of water quality from construction activities. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.

The Project would not substantially decrease groundwater supplies or impede long-term sustainable management of the groundwater basins within the Project Area. Water supplies would be provided by local purveyors and direct groundwater extraction would not be required for the Project. The Project would use approximately 9.6 acre-feet over the approximately 8-month construction period, constituting a small fraction of the available water supplies. Each water agency is responsible for managing groundwater resources to ensure sufficient water supply is available. The Project would result in a minimal increase in impervious surface by approximately 0.1 acre distributed along the 53-mile-along Project Alignment. As the Project would constitute a small fraction of water capacity of the purveyors and create a marginal increase in impervious surfaces, the Project would only have an incremental contribution to cumulatively considerable impacts on groundwater supply or recharge.

The increase in impervious surfaces by 0.1 acre from the Project would create minor alterations in the existing drainage pattern. Each area of impact would be up to 64 square-feet and spread across the 53-mile Project Alignment. The Project would not alter the course of a stream or river. As the Cumulative Projects and the impervious surfaces created by the Project would be spread across a wide geographic area, the impervious surfaces would not be clustered and thus the Project would not contribute to significant alterations to existing drainage patterns that could lead to significant flooding, erosion, siltation, or runoff in any given area. Therefore, the Project would only result in an incremental contribution to cumulatively considerable impacts on existing drainage patterns.

The Project Alignment is not located within a tsunami or seiche zone. The existing Mirage Laydown Yard is located in a 100-year flood hazard zone. As described in Section 5.10, Hydrology and Water Quality, the Project would implement a SWPPP outlining BMPs (e.g., elevating materials off the ground and covering with tarps or similar) designed to limit the potential for construction materials to come into contact with stormwater, including floodwaters. As the Mirage Laydown Yard is an existing yard, a review of Cumulative Projects was not conducted within a 2-mile radius of the laydown yard. The Mirage Laydown Yard is located approximately 52 miles west of the western portion of the Project Alignment and thus the Cumulative Projects described in Table 7-1 would not combine with any impacts in the instance of flooding

of the Mirage Laydown Yard due to their distance. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulative impact on the release of pollutants due to Project inundation.

7.1.3.11 Land Use and Planning

As discussed in Section 5.11, Land Use and Planning, the Project would result in no impacts under all land use and planning-related CEQA criteria because construction activities would not divide an established community and the Project would be consistent with applicable land use policies and plans throughout the Project Alignment. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to land use and planning.

7.1.3.12 Mineral Resources

As discussed in Section 5.12, Mineral Resources, the Project would result in no impacts under all mineral resources-related CEQA criteria during construction activities because the Project Alignment would not be located within or in proximity to a mineral resource zone of known significance or resource recovery site. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to mineral resources.

7.1.3.13 Noise

The Project would have a less-than-significant impact related to the generation of a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels. In the instance where the construction timelines of one or more Cumulative Projects were to overlap with the Project's construction timeline, it would be unlikely that construction along the Project Alignment would occur in the vicinity of the construction of any given Cumulative Project for prolonged periods of time due to the length of the Project Alignment. Furthermore, the Cumulative Projects would be required to adhere to the applicable noise regulations and thresholds. As a result, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on ambient noise levels in excess of applicable noise standards.

Vibration levels at all identified sensitive receptors in Riverside County and the City of Blythe would be below the threshold of significance level of 83 vibration decibels (dBs). Cumulative Projects in the vicinity of the sensitive receptors near the Project would be the Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project, Desert Quartzsite Solar Project, and Ten West Link Transmission Line Project. The construction timeline of the Project would not overlap with the construction timeline of the Ten West Link Transmission Line Project, and the construction timeline of the Project would only briefly overlap with the final few months the Desert Quartzsite Solar Project construction. In the instance that the construction timeline of Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage and the Project's construction timeline overlap, it would be unlikely that construction activities resulting in ground vibration would occur simultaneously from both projects at a location in proximity to a sensitive receptor as Project construction would be conducted across a 53-mile-long alignment. As a result, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on ground vibration.

As discussed in Section 5.12, Noise, Project construction workers would not be exposed to excessive noise from aircraft operations as the Project is located outside of the 55 A-weighted dB community noise equivalent level noise contour for Blythe Airport and Desert Center Airport. The construction of the Cumulative Projects in the vicinity of the Blythe Airport, the Ten West Link Transmission Line Project and Desert Quartzsite Solar Project, would not occur at the same time as the construction of the Project. The Sapphire Solar Project and Easley Renewable Energy Project would be located within 1 mile of the Desert Center Airport and construction noise from the Cumulative Projects could combine with noise from Project

construction and aircraft in the area. However, as Project construction would be dispersed across the 53-mile-long alignment, construction in the vicinity of the Desert Center Airport would be for a duration shorter than the total 8-month construction period, and Project construction activities involving equipment that generates significant noise may not occur at the same time as phases of Cumulative Project construction that would generate significant noise. As a result, the Project would only have an incremental contribution to a cumulatively considerable impact on excessive noise for people residing or working in the Project Area.

7.1.3.14 Population and Housing

As discussed in Section 5.14, Population and Housing, the Project would result in no impacts under all population and housing-related CEQA criteria during construction activities because the Project would not induce substantial population growth or displace residents or housing. Construction activities would be temporary, and the workforce would be relatively small; therefore, no permanent or long-term population growth in the Riverside County area would occur due to Project construction. Furthermore, consistent with Section 7.2, Growth-Inducing Impacts, the Project would not directly or indirectly induce population growth in the area as the Project would not expand the electrical capacity of the area to accommodate increased demand. The Project facilities and temporary construction areas would be sited to avoid existing housing, and no residential uses are included as part of the Project. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to population and housing.

7.1.3.15 Public Services

As discussed in Section 5.15, Public Services, the Project would result in no impacts to all public services-related CEQA criteria during construction activities. The Project would not require the expansion of public facilities such as parks, hospitals, or libraries. Fire, emergency, and police services currently serve and would continue to serve the areas in and around the Project Alignment. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to public services.

7.1.3.16 Recreation

As discussed in Section 5.16, Recreation, the Project would have no impact on neighborhood and regional parks or recreational facilities and no new recreational facilities would need to be built or expanded as a result of Project construction activities. As discussed in Section 5.14, Population and Housing, the Project would not directly or indirectly result in growth or development that would result in an increase in demand for neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities, and the Project Alignment does not cross any city or county parks or recreational facilities. The Project Alignment does not cross any recreational trails or facilities. Furthermore, the Project would not change the substantially change the character of a recreational area. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact to the use of neighborhood and regional parks or recreational facilities or damage any recreational trails or facilities.

The Project would require temporary access restrictions along I-10 during construction activities, which may potentially affect access to the Chuckwalla Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA) located south of I-10. To reduce the potential impacts associated with access restrictions to this recreation area, SCE would implement APM REC-1. APM REC-1 would require SCE to coordinate with recreation facility owners prior to any temporary access restrictions to ensure facility users are aware of upcoming restrictions and can still access the facility through the use of alternative roads. The Easley Renewable Energy Project, Sapphire Solar and Storage Project, Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project, Sunlight Storage II Battery

Energy Storage System, Caltrans SHOPP ID 22909, and Structure Repair for Red Cloud Mill CA-RIV-1219 would be located in the vicinity of the Chuckwalla Valley SRMA. Due to the temporary nature of the Project access restrictions and limited number of restriction locations, it is unlikely that temporary access restrictions related to the Cumulative Projects would occur at the same time as the restrictions from the Project. If Cumulative Projects' access restrictions and access restrictions due to Project construction happened to occur simultaneously, implementation of APM REC-1 would minimize the impact by ensuring facility users are aware of upcoming restrictions and can still access the facility through the use of alternative roads. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact on access to a designated recreation facility or area.

7.1.3.17 Transportation

The geographic scope for cumulative transportation impacts includes the regional and local roadways that may be used to access the Project or that could otherwise be impacted by construction of the Project. The geographic scope also includes the bus routes and pedestrian and bike paths in the area.

Project construction activities would be spread out along the length of the Project Alignment such that construction-related traffic would not be concentrated at one location. Construction traffic would be temporary, and any traffic increase would be negligible in the desert, rural area of the county. Likewise, the Cumulative Projects are distributed over a large geographic area and traffic or road closures associated with construction would be temporary. As a result, the Project would not create any inconsistency or conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy that establishes measures of effectiveness, and therefore would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact in this regard.

During the most vehicle-intensive phase of construction activities, there would be a 0.03 percent increase beyond the existing 61,000,000 daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in Riverside County. Therefore, the Project would combine with the VMT of the Cumulative Projects during construction and only have an incremental contribution to cumulatively considerable impacts associated with VMT.

The Project would not introduce a new design feature that would increase hazards and no cumulatively considerable impact would occur under this criterion. Heavy construction equipment would travel on public roadways to access the Project, and the movement of heavy trucks and equipment could incidentally damage road surfaces, shoulders, curbs, sidewalks, signs, and light standards. However, heavy equipment would be minimal and travel would be spread across the approximately 53-mile-long Project Alignment. It is unlikely that movement of heavy trucks and equipment from the Cumulative Projects would overlap with the Project's movement of heavy trucks and equipment at any given location. As a result, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact in this regard.

Project construction activities would occur in remote and largely uninhabited areas, and SCE would prepare and implement traffic control plans in accordance with required encroachment permits. These plans would require that construction activities be coordinated with local agencies and emergency providers and that appropriate traffic controls be implemented to maintain pedestrian and bicycle access and to reduce potential traffic delays for public transit operations and reduce hazardous conditions for people walking, biking, driving, or taking public transit. It is anticipated that the Cumulative Projects would implement traffic control plans in accordance with any required encroachment permits. Therefore, the Project would have no contribution to cumulatively considerable impacts related to people walking, biking, driving, or taking public transit, walking or biking accessibility, or public transit delay.

7.1.3.18 Tribal Cultural Resources

The CPUC would consult with eligible tribes under Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1 once SCE's Permit to Construct Application is complete. Impacts on tribal cultural resources are not addressed in this Proponent's Environmental Assessment because under Assembly Bill 52, the CPUC must identify these resources during consultation. Therefore, no determination regarding cumulative impacts to tribal cultural resources can be made at this time.

7.1.3.19 Utilities and Service Systems

As presented in Section 5.19, Utilities and Service Systems, the Project would not require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact.

Project construction activities would result in a temporary increase in water demand for dust management and concrete mixing. Water used during construction would be obtained from existing hydrants and wells operated by utilities and would represent a small fraction of the combined capacity of City of Blythe, County of Riverside CSA 51 and CSA 122, and the Palo Verde Irrigation District. As a result, the water use of the Project would only have an incremental contribution to cumulative impacts on water supplies.

Portable restrooms would be used during construction, and sanitation waste and wastewater (i.e., human-generated waste) from these restrooms would be disposed of offsite at appropriate treatment facilities. Wastewater from the portable restrooms used during Project construction would not constitute a significant addition to existing wastewater treatment commitments in the region; and existing wastewater facilities would adequately accommodate the minor, temporary increase in demand associated with Project construction while serving existing commitments. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact related to wastewater treatment.

As presented in Section 5.19, Utilities and Service Systems, the Blythe Sanitary Landfill and the Desert Center Sanitary Landfill, as well as the Eagle Mountain Class III nonhazardous solid waste landfill, have substantial remaining capacity to accommodate solid waste. The Project would not generate a considerable increase in solid waste that would exceed the capacity of the regional landfills or the existing, planned, and proposed facilities in Riverside County, and the Project would comply with all applicable solid waste regulations and policies in the Riverside General Plan's Circulation and Healthy Communities Element and the City of Blythe General Plan's Open Space and Conservation Element related to solid waste. It is anticipated that the landfills described in Section 5.19, Utilities and Service Systems, have sufficient capacity to accommodate waste generated by the Cumulative Projects. As a result, the Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact related to generation of solid waste in excess of state or local standards or the capacity of local infrastructure.

The Project would comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste. The Cumulative Projects would likewise be required to comply with all laws and regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact related to compliance with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

No aspect of construction would increase the rate of corrosion of adjacent utility lines as a result of alternating current impacts, as construction would not include activities that would introduce or increase

electrical interference along existing pipeline facilities. As a result, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact.

7.1.3.20 Wildfire

As presented in Section 5.20, Wildfire, the Project would result in no impacts under all wildfire-related CEQA criteria because the Project is not located in or near a state-responsibility area or on land classified as a very high fire hazard zone. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact.

7.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts

7.2.1 Would the Project either directly or indirectly, foster economic or population growth or the construction of additional housing in the surrounding area?

As discussed in Chapter 3, Proposed Project Description, and Section 5.14, Population and Housing, Project construction would not substantially affect employment in the area. Construction would be performed by either SCE construction crews or contractors, and construction workers would generally be drawn from the local labor pool.

As further presented in Section 5.14, Population and Housing, because the Project would not expand the existing electrical capacity, it would not impact population, housing, employment, or other aspects that could either directly or indirectly foster economic or population growth or the construction of additional housing in the surrounding area. Therefore, no impacts would occur under this criterion.

7.2.2 Would the Project remove obstacles to population growth?

The Project would not remove land use restrictions or other obstacles to population growth. The Project would not expand the existing electrical capacity or infrastructure. Obstacles to population growth in the region served by the Project are primarily due to feasibility of development, economic constraints, permitting, and other development restrictions and regulations administered by local agencies. The Project would not affect the feasibility of development in the area, remove an obstacle to growth, or affect development of restrictions administered by local agencies. Therefore, no impacts would occur under this criterion.

7.2.3 Would the Project require the construction of new community facilities that could cause significant environmental effects?

As discussed in Section 5.14, Population and Housing, the Project would not include the construction of housing, nor would it include residential or community facility components. The Project would not expand power capacity that would trigger population growth that could result in the construction of any new or upgraded community facilities such as parks or libraries. In addition, the Project would not build public roads that would provide new access to undeveloped or underdeveloped areas or extend the need for public services to new areas. Therefore, no impacts would occur under this criterion.

7.2.4 Would the Project encourage or facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment, either individually or cumulatively?

As discussed in Section 7.1, above, the Project would not encourage and facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment, either individually or cumulatively. The Project is the result of complying with CPUC General Order 95, rather than a precursor to development in the area. The Project would not provide a new source of electricity that would encourage and facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment either individually or cumulatively. Therefore, no impacts would occur under this criterion.

Chapter 8 List of Preparers

8.1 List of Preparers

Southern California Edison

Andrea Hernandez, Major Projects Organization, Project Management Support Construction Sr. Specialist

Brian Sabins, Land Acquisitions, Advisor

Carolina Trinh, Project Controls Advisor, Cost

Christina May, Environmental, Health, Safety & Quality, Advisor

David Balandran, Regulatory, Senior Advisor

Farrgo J. Roebuck, Major Projects Organization, Major Construction Project Manager

Lori Iles-Rangel, Environmental, Health, Safety & Quality, Senior Advisor

Manuel Gutierrez, Project Engineering, Engineering Project Manager

Nathan Schultz, Transmission Engineering, Senior Transmission Engineer

Nora Harris, Major Projects Organization, Major Construction Senior Project Manager

Sivakumar Kamaraj, Major Projects Organization, Advisor

Vicki Vigil, Real Properties, Advisor

Rincon Consultants, Inc.

Brenda Eells, Principal, Environmental Planning – Renewable Energy Infrastructure, Master of Planning, University of Wyoming

Lindsey Sarquilla, Director, Environmental Planning, Master of Environmental Science and Management (MESM), University of California, Santa Barbara

Nicholas Carter, Associate Environmental Planner, Master of Environmental Policy and Management, University of California, Davis

Megan Knight, Associate Environmental Planner, BA of Landscape Architecture, California State Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo

Michael Glietz, GIS Analyst, BA of Environmental Geography, University of Pennsylvania Kutztown

Yaritza Ramirez, Publishing Specialist, BA of Sociology, California State University Channel Islands

Insignia Environmental

Anne Marie McGraw, President, MS Engineering, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo; Master of City and Regional Planning, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo; BS Environmental Policy Analysis and Planning, University of California, Davis

Bradley Jacobsen, Senior GIS Specialist, BA Geography, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park

Brian Nemerow, Lead Planner, BS Earth Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz

Eden Vitakis, Environmental Planner, BS Environmental Management and Protection, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

Kristen Marschall, Senior Technical Editor, BS Journalism, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

Kyle Rommel, Senior Planner, BS Marine Biology, University of North Carolina, Wilmington

Matthew Winter, Associate Planner, BA Environmental Studies and BA Geography, University of California, Santa Barbara

Robert Curley, Chief Technical Officer, MBA, Santa Clara University; BS Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara

Sara Hamilton, Lead Technical Editor, BS Journalism, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

Chapter 9 References

9.1 Reference List

9.1.1 Project Description

Riverside County. 2024. Hazardous Materials Disclosure. <https://rivcoeh.org/hazardous-materials-disclosure>. Site visited February 2024.

SCE. 2023. Wildfire Mitigation Plan.
https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/AEM/Wildfire%20Mitigation%20Plan/2023-2025/2023-03-27_SCE_2023_WMP_R0.pdf. Site visited February 2024.

9.1.2 Aesthetics

Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2005. Land Use Planning Handbook. March 11, 2005.
https://www.ntc.blm.gov/krc/system/files?file=legacy/uploads/5656/4_BLM%20Planning%20Handbook%20H-1601-1.pdf (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2013. Best Management Practices for Reducing Visual Impacts of Renewable Energy Facilities on BLM-Administered Lands. April 2013.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261639014_Best_Management_Practices_for_Reducing_Visual_Impacts_of_Renewable_Energy_Facilities_on_BLM-Administered_Lands (accessed January 2024).

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2024. “Scenic Highways.”
<https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/lap-landscape-architecture-and-community-livability/lap-liv-i-scenic-highways> (accessed January 2024).

Riverside, County of. 2015a. County of Riverside General Plan – Multipurpose Open Space Element. December 8, 2015. <https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan> (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2015b. County of Riverside General Plan – Healthy Communities Element. December 30, 2015.
https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/Ch10_HCE_092121.pdf (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2015c. Desert Center Area Plan. December 8, 2015.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-genplan-general-plan-2016-area-plans-DCAP-120815m.pdf> (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2020. County of Riverside General Plan – Circulation Element. July 7, 2020.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan> (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2021a. Map My County v9.1.
https://gis.countyofriverside.us/Html5Viewer/?viewer=MMC_Public (accessed January 2024).

_____. 2021b. County of Riverside General Plan –Land Use Element. June 29, 2021.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan> (accessed January 2024).

- _____. 2021c. Palo Verde Valley Area Plan. Revised: September 28, 2021.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-genplan-GPA-2022-Compiled-PVVAP-4-2022-rev-6-2022.pdf> (accessed January 2024).
- _____. 2021d. County of Riverside General Plan –Healthy Communities Element. Revised: September 21, 2021. <https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-Ch10-HCE-092121.pdf> (accessed January 2024)

9.1.3 Agriculture and Forestry Resources

Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan and Zoning Map for City of Blythe. Online.
<https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/290/Zoning-Map---City-Limits>. Site visited December 2021.

California Department of Conservation (DOC). 2021. California Important Farmland Finder. Online.
<https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/>. Site visited December 2021.

Riverside County. 2021. County of Riverside General Plan –Land Use Element. June 29, 2021. Online.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan>. Site visited December 2021.

9.1.4 Air Quality

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2023. CalEEMod. Available online at:
<http://www.caleemod.com>. Accessed April 2023.

California Department of Public Health. 2023. Coccidioidomycosis (Valley Fever).
<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Coccidioidomycosis.aspx>. Accessed April 2023.

California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2023a. Ambient Air Quality Standards. Available online at:
<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/background-air-quality-standards>. Accessed April 2023.

_____. 2023b. EMFAC. Available online at: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/>. Accessed April 2023.

_____. 2023c. iADAM: Air Quality Data Statistics. Available online at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/>. Accessed April 2023.

_____. 2023d. Maps of State and Federal Area Designations. Available online at:
<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/maps-state-and-federal-area-designations>. Accessed April 2023.

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2006. Construction Noise Handbook. Available online at:
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/. Accessed April 2023.

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD). 1994. Reasonable Further Progress Rate-of-Progress Plan. Available online at:
<https://www.mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/186/636305687989730000>. Accessed April 2023.

- _____. 2016. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines. August 2016. Available online at: <https://www.mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showdocument?id=192> Accessed December 2021.
- _____. 2017. Federal 75 ppb Ozone Attainment Plan (Western Mojave Desert Nonattainment Area). Available online at: https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/planarea/wmdaqmp/2016sip_mdplan.pdf. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2020. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines. Available online at: <https://www.mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/8510/637406182097070000>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2022. Regulation IV – Prohibitions. Available online at: <https://www.mdaqmd.ca.gov/rules/rule-book/regulation-iv-prohibitions>. Accessed April 2023.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2015. Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments. Available online at: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>. Accessed April 2023.
- Southern California Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2023. CEQA. Available online at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2017. Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). March 3, 2017. Available online at: <https://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-quality/clean-air-plans/air-quality-mgt-plan/final-2016-aqmp>. Accessed December 2021.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2023. AP-42: Compilation of Air Emissions Factors. Available online at: <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emissions-factors>. Accessed April 2023.
- Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD). 2003. Ventura County Air Quality Assessment Guidelines. Available online at: <http://www.vcapcd.org/pubs/Planning/VCAQGuidelines.pdf>. Accessed April 2023.

9.1.5 Biological Resources

- AECOM. 2016. Habitat and Resource Assessment Report for the Southern California Edison Eagle Mountain Blythe 161kV Project. December 2016.
- Artemis Environmental Services, Inc. (Artemis). 2021a. Special-Status Plants Survey Report.
- _____. 2021b. Aquatic Resources Delineation Report for the Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161-Kilovolt Transmission Line Rating and Remediation Project, Riverside County, California. September 2021.
- _____. 2023. Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161-KV Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project 2023 Special-Status Plant Survey Report. Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: the State of the Art in 2006.

- Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D.J. Keil, R. Patterson, T.J. Rosatti, and D.H. Wilken, editors. 2012. The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, Second Edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Barrows, C. W. 1996. An Ecological Model for the Protection of a Dune Ecosystem. *Conservation Biology* 10:888–891.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2008. Special-Status Species Management Manual 6840. Available at: https://www.blm.gov/sites/blm.gov/files/uploads/mediacenter_blmpolicymanual_6840.pdf.
- _____. 2016. Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan Land Use Plan Amendment to the California Desert Conservation Area Plan, Bishop Resource Management Plan, and Bakersfield Resource Management Plan. September.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 1999. “Cave Myotis” Web site. Available at: <http://www.sibr.com/mammals/M024.html>.
- _____. 2000. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System, version 5.0. Computer database and program. Sacramento, California.
- _____. 2009. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special-Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities. California Natural Resources Agency. November 24, 2009.
- _____. 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. March 7.
- _____. 2022a. RareFind: California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). Accessed April 5, 2022.
- _____. 2022b. Special Animals List. California Natural Diversity Database. Periodic publication. January 2022.
- _____. 2022b. Special Vascular Plants, Bryophytes, and Lichens List. California Natural Diversity Database. Periodic publication. January 2022.
- California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA). 2022. California Noxious Weed List. Available at: https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/IPC/encycloweedia/weedinfo/wininfo_table-sciname.html#top. Accessed April 5, 2022.
- California Fish and Game Commission. 1989. Animals of California Declared to be Endangered or Threatened. 14 CCR § 670.5, Barclays Official California Code of Regulations Title 14. Natural Resources, Division 1, Fish and Game Commission-Department of Fish and Game, Subdivision 3. General Regulations, Chapter 3, Miscellaneous.
- California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC). 2006. California Invasive Plant Inventory. Cal IPC Publication 2006-02. California Invasive Plant Council, Berkeley, CA. Available at: <http://www.cal-ipc.org/ip/inventory/pdf/Inventory2006.pdf>.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2001. CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines, Revised.
- _____. 2006. The California Rare Plant Ranking System. Available online at <http://www.cnps.org/cnps/rareplants/ranking.php>.
- _____. 2022a. A Manual of California Vegetation, Online Edition. Available at: <http://www.cnps.org/cnps/vegetation/>. Accessed April 5, 2022.

- _____. 2022b. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California. Online edition, v8-03 0.39. Available at: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/>. Accessed April 5, 2022.
- City of Blythe. 2007. City of Blythe General Plan 2025. Available at: <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302>
- Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH). 2022. Database query for records of CNPS rare plants within 8 miles of the Project. Available at: <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/consortium/>. Accessed April 5, 2022.
- County of Riverside. 2011a. County of Riverside General Plan Desert Center Area Plan. Transportation and Land Management Agency. October. Available at: https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/0/genplan/general_plan_2013/3%20Area%20Plan%20Volume%202/Desert%20Center%20AP.pdf :
- _____. 2011b. County of Riverside General Plan Palo Verde Valley Area Plan. Transportation and Land Management Agency. October. Available at: https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/0/genplan/general_plan_2013/3%20Area%20Plan%20Volume%202/Palo%20Verde%20AP.pdf
- _____. 2015. County of Riverside General Plan. December 8. Available at: <https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan>.
- Faber-Langendoen D., Nichols J, Master L, Snow K, Tomaino A, Bittman R, Hammerson G, Heidel B, Ramsay L, Teucher A, and Young B. 2012. NatureServe Conservation Status Assessments: Methodology for Assigning Ranks. NatureServe Report, Revised Edition. June 2012. Available at: https://www.natureserve.org/sites/default/files/publications/files/natureserveconservationstatusmethodology_jun12_0.pdf. Accessed August 2020.
- Garcia and Associates (GANDA). 2018. Special-status Plant Survey Report for the Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161kV Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project. September 2017; Revised July 2018.
- Google Earth Pro 7.3.3.7786 (64-bit). 2022. Aerial Imagery. April 2022.
- Holland, R. F. 1986. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. Non-game Heritage Division. California Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento.
- Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. (Jacobs). 2017. Desert Tortoise Survey Report; Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161-kV Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project. Riverside County, California. August; updated February 2021.
- Jepson Flora Project (editors). 2021. Jepson eFlora. Available at: <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/eflora/>. Accessed on May 13, 2020.
- Kadaba, Dipia, Ileene Anderson, Curt Bradley and Shaye Wolf. 2013. A Petition to List the Desert Kit Fox (*Vulpes macrotis arsipus*) as Threatened under the California Endangered Species Act Submitted to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. March 2013.
- Klute, D. S., A. W. Ayers, M. T. Green, W. H. Howe, S. L. Jones, J. A. Shaffer, S. R. Sheffield, and T. S. Zimmerman. 2003. Status assessment and conservation plan for the western burrowing owl in the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Technical Publication FWS/BTP-R6001-2003, Washington, D.C, USA.

- Nafis, G. 2000-2020. California Herps - A Guide to the Amphibians and Reptiles of California. Available at: <http://www.californiaherps.com/>.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2015. National List of Hydric Soils. December. Available at: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/use/hydric/>. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- _____. 2019. STATSGO2 Database. Available at https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/geo/?cid=nrcs142p2_053629. Site accessed June 7, 2019.
- NatureServe. 2020. NatureServe Explorer [web application]. Arlington, Virginia. Available at: <http://explorer.natureserve.org>. Accessed August 19, 2020.
- Norris, K. S. 1958. "The Evolution and Systematics of the Iguanid Genus *Uma* and Its Relation to the Evolution of Other North American Desert Reptiles." *Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 114(3): 251–317.
- Reid, F. A. 1997. *A Field Guide to the Mammals of Central America and Southeast Mexico*. Oxford University Press, England.
- Remsen, J. V., Jr. 1978. *Bird Species of Special Concern in California*. Calif. Dep. Fish and Game, Sacramento. Wildl. Manage. Admin. Rep. No. 78-1. 54pp.
- Sawyer, J.O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. Evens. 2009. *A Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition (MCVII)*. California Native Plant Society Press.
- Sheppard, Jay M. 1996. LeConte's Thrasher (*Toxostoma lecontei*), *The Birds of North America Online* (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Available at: <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/230>.
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 2019. State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Materials to Waters of the State. Adopted April 2. Available at: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/cwa401/docs/procedures_conformed.pdf.
- Stebbins, R. C. 1985. *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians*. Second edition. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston.
- Stebbins, R. C. 2003. *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians*. Third Edition. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston.
- Tinant, J. 2006. "Black-tailed Gnatcatcher (*Poliophtila melanura*).” *The Draft Desert Bird Conservation Plan: a strategy for reversing the decline of desert-associated birds in California*. California Partners in Flight. Available at: <http://www.prbo.org/calpif/html/docs/desert.html>.
- U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) and U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 1980 as amended, 1999. *The California Desert Conservation Area Plan*.
- _____. 2001. *Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Coordinated Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement. An Amendment to the California Desert Conservation Area Plan 1980 and Sikes Act Plan with the California Department of Fish and Game*. February.

- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2019. The Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD) was created from a variety of sources from each state and aggregated into a standard national layer for use in strategic planning and accountability. Watershed Boundary Dataset for Riverside County, California. Available at: <http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov>. Accessed July 25, 2017 and June 7, 2019.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1989. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Emergency Determination of Endangered Status for the Mojave Population of the Desert Tortoise. Federal Register 54(149): 32326–32331.
- _____. 1990. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Emergency Determination of Endangered Status for the Mojave Population of the Desert Tortoise. Federal Register 54(149):12178–12191.
- _____. 1994a. The Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1 – Lead Region, Portland, Oregon. 73 pp. + appendices.
- _____. 1994b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Critical Habitat for the Mojave Population of the Desert Tortoise. Federal Register 59(26):5820–5866.
- _____. 2011. Revised recovery plan for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, California. 222 pp.
- _____. 2012. Status of the Species and Critical Habitat Rangewide [Desert Tortoise; (*Gopherus agassizii*)]. February 9.
- _____. 2021a. Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website. Available at: <https://ecos.fws.gov/IPaC/>. Accessed March 16, 2021.
- _____. 2021b. National Wetland Inventory. Available at: <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/>. Accessed July 25, 2017 and June 7, 2019.
- Western Bat Working Group. 2005. *Macrotus californicus* California Leaf-nosed bat species account. Updated 2005. Available at: <http://wbwg.org/western-bat-species>.

9.1.6 Cultural Resources

- AECOM. 2017. Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Proposed Southern California Edison Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161kv Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project, Riverside County, California. September 2017.
- Altschul, Jeffery H., and Joseph A. Ezzo. 1994. The Expression of Ceremonial Space along the Lower Colorado River. In *Recent Research along the Lower Colorado River*, edited by Joseph A. Ezzo, pp 51–68. Statistical Research Technical Series No. 51, Tucson.
- Antevs, E. 1955. Geologic-climatic Dating in the West. *American Antiquity* 20(4), pages 317–335.
- Apple, Rebecca, Andrew York, James H. Cleland, and Stephen Van Wormer. 1997. Archaeological Survey and Evaluation Program for the Salton Sea Test Base, Imperial County, California. Report prepared for the Department of the Navy Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Diego, California. Prepared by KEA Environmental, Inc., San Diego, California.

- Bean, Lowell J., and Sylvia Brakke Vane. 1978. Persistence and Power: A Study of Native American Peoples in the Sonoran Desert and Devers-Palo Verde High Voltage Transmission Line. Report submitted to Southern California Edison Company. Cultural Systems Research: Menlo Park, California.
- Bean, Lowell J., and William M. Mason. 1962. The Romero Expeditions 1823–1826. Palm Springs Desert Museum. Library of Congress Catalogue Number 63–14763, United States of America.
- Bean, Lowell John, Jerry Schaefer, and Sylvia Brakke Vane. 1995. Archaeological, Ethnographic, and Ethnohistoric Investigations at Tahquitz Canyon, Palm Springs, California. Prepared by Cultural Systems Research, Inc., Menlo Park, California, for Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- Belden, L. Burr. 1964. Kaiser Revived Iron Mines. San Bernardino Sun-Times February 23.
- Bischoff, Matt C. 2000. The Desert Training Center/California-Arizona Maneuver Area, 1942–1944 Historical and Archaeological Contexts. Statistical Research Technical Series No. 75. Tucson, Arizona.
- _____. 2016. Preparing for Combat Overseas: Patton’s Desert Training Center.
- Blythe, City of. 2007. Open Space and Conservation Element. Online.
<https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Accessed April 2023.
- Bull, Charles S., Sue A. Wade, and McMillan Davis. 1991. Cultural Resource Survey of the Eagle Mountain Mine and the Kaiser Industrial Railroad. Cultural Resource permit #CA881916. RECON, San Diego.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2009. Bureau of Land Management Land Entries. Available at www.BLM.gov.
- California Department of Transportation. 2008. Historical Context and Archaeological Research Design for Mining Properties in California. Division of Environmental Analysis, California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, California.
- Carrico, Richard L., Theodore G. Cooley, and Joyce M. Clevenger. 1993. Archaeological Excavation at the Harris Site Complex, San Diego County, California. Report prepared by ERC Environmental and Energy Services, San Diego. On file at the South Coastal Information Center, San Diego State University.
- Crabtree, Robert H. 1980. Archaeology. In A Cultural Resources Overview of the Colorado Desert Planning Units, pages 25–54. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management by the Institute for American Research.
- Davis, Emma Lou. 1978. The Ancient Californians: Rancholabrean Hunters of the Mojave Lakes Country. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. Science Series 29.
- Desert Strike. After-Action Report. Report on file, Bureau of Land Management, Palm Springs, California.
- Dillon, Brian D. 2002. California Paleo-Indians: Lack of Evidence, or Evidence of a Lack? In Essays in California Archaeology: A Memorial to Franklin Fenenga, edited by William J. Wallace and

- Francis A. Riddell, pages 110–128. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility, No. 60. Berkeley, California.
- Dixon, E. James. 1999. *Bones, Boats & Bison: Archaeology and the First Colonization of Western North America*. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press.
- Flenniken, Jeffrey J., and Alan C. Spencer. 2001. In Field On-Site Analysis of Lithic Debitage Dominated Sites (LDDS) Associated with the McCoy Wash Watershed Project, Riverside County, California. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Davis, California.
- Grayson, Donald K. 1993. *The Desert's Past: A Natural Prehistory of the Great Basin*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Gunther, Jane Davies. 1984. *Riverside County, California, Place Names: Their Origins and Their Stories*. Rubidoux Printing: Riverside, California.
- Gruen, J. Philip. 1998. *Colorado River Aqueduct Recording Project*. Groucho Publications: Los Angeles, California.
- Hammond, Stephen R. 1981. Archaeological Survey Report for the Proposed Safety Project on Interstate Route 10 between Chiriaco Summit and Wiley's Well Overcrossing, Riverside, California. 11-RIV-10 P.M. 85.7/135.1, 11208-108370 and 154230. Report prepared for California Department of Transportation District 11. On file at the EIC, University of California, Riverside.
- Hedges, Ken. 1982. The Petroglyphs of RIV-1383. Appendix B, in Cultural Resource Inventory and National Register Assessment of the Southern California Edison Palo Verde to Devers Transmission Line Corridor (California Portion). Report prepared for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, by WESTEC Services, Inc. San Diego, California. On file at the EIC, University of California, Riverside.
- Henley, Brigadier General David C. 1992. "The Land that God Forgot..." The Saga of General George Patton's Desert Training Camps. The Western Military History Association.
- Howard, George W. 1985. The Desert Training Center/California-Arizona Maneuver Area. *Journal of Arizona History* 26, pages 273–294.
- Jenkins, Dennis L., Loren G. Davis, Thomas W. Stafford, Jr., Paula F. Campos, Bryan Hockett, George T. Jones, Linda Scott Cummings, Chad Yost, Thomas J. Connolly, Robert M. Yohe II, Summer C. Gibbons, Maanasa Raghavan, Morten Rasmussen, Johanna L.A. Paijmans, Michael Hofreiter, Brian M. Kemp, Jodi Lynn Barta, Cara Monroe, M. Thomas P. Golbert, and Eske Willerslev. 2012. Clovis Age Western Stemmed Projectile Points and Human Coprolites at the Paisley Caves. *Science* 337(6091), pages 223-228.
- Kaiser Foundation Health Plan (Kaiser Foundation). 1990 Application for Registration of Historical Landmark, Contractors General Hospital. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan. Submitted to State Historical Resources Commission, California.
- Kline, George E. 2014. The McCoy Fluted Point Discovery in Context with the Solar Development of the Chuckwalla Valley: CA-RIV-23891. *Proceedings of the Society for California Archaeology* 28, pages 80–85.

- Laflin, Patricia. 1998. Coachella Valley California. The Donning Company Publishers: Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- Lavender, David. 1972. California, Land of New Beginnings. University of Nebraska Press: Lincoln.
- Laylander, Don. 1997. The Last Days of Lake Cahuilla: The Elmore Site. Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly 33 (1 and 2).
- Los Angeles, City of. 2002 The Story of the Los Angeles Aqueduct: Construction Begins. City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. Available at <https://www.ladwp.com/who-we-are/water-system/los-angeles-aqueduct/facts-history> . Accessed January 2024.
- Love, Bruce. 1994. Addendum Cultural Resources Reconnaissance: Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Transmission Corridor, Riverside County. CRM Tech, Riverside, California.
- Love, Bruce, and Mariam Dahdul. 2002. Desert Chronologies and the Archaic Period in the Coachella Valley. Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly 38 (2 and 3), pages 65–86.
- Ludwig, Brian. 2005. The North Baja Pipeline Project: Lithic Artifact Studies. Proceedings of the Society for California Archaeology 18, pages 120-130.
- Lyman, Edward Leo. 2004. The Overland Journey from Utah to California: Wagon Travel from the City of Saints to the City of Angels. University of Nevada Press: Reno, Nevada.
- McCarthy, F. Daniel. 1982. The Coco-Maricopa Trail Network. Appendix C, in Cultural Resource Inventory and National Register Assessment of the Southern California Edison Palo Verde to Devers Transmission Line Corridor (California Portion). Report prepared for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, by WESTEC Services, Inc. San Diego, California. On file at the EIC, University of California, Riverside.
- McDonald, Alison Meg. 1992. Indian Hill Rockshelter and Aboriginal Cultural Adaption in Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, Southeastern California. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Riverside.
- McGuire, Randall H., and Michael B. Schiffer (editors). 1982. Hohokam and Patayan: Prehistory of Southwestern Arizona. Academic Press, New York.
- Meller, Sidney L. 1946. The Army Ground Forces: The Desert Training Center and CAMA. Historical Section Study No. 15.
- Mitchell, Mike. 1989. Archaeological Inventory and Evaluation of the Pebble Terraces in Riverside County, California. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Palm Springs-South Coast Resource Area, California Desert District.
- Moratto, Michael J. 1984. California Archaeology. Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- Moratto, Michael J., Shelly Davis-King, Jeffrey Rosenthal, and Laurie Sylvester. 2011. A Second Fluted Point from Twain Harte, Central Sierra Nevada, California. California Archaeology 3(2), pages 307–313.
- Morton, Paul K. 1977. Geology and Mineral Resources of Imperial County, California. County Report 7. California Division of Mines and Geology: Sacramento.

- National Park Service. 1997. How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation. National Register Bulletin 15. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Cultural Resources Division, Washington, D.C.
- Palo Verde Historical Museum and Society (Palo Verde). 2005. Blythe and the Palo Verde Valley. Images of America Series, Arcadia Publishing, Charleston, South Carolina.
- PanGIS and AECOM. 2017. Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Southern California Edison DeversColorado River No.1 Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project, Riverside County, California.
- Peak, Ann S., and Tony F. Weber. 1978. Archaeological Investigations at the Wolfsen Mound, CA MER-215, EIR for the City of Newman, CA. Merced, California.
- Pendleton, Lorann. 1984. Archaeological Investigations in the Picacho Basin. Prepared by Wirth Environmental Services Division of Dames & Moore, San Diego. Prepared for San Diego Gas & Electric, San Diego, California
- Pendleton, Lorann, Lisa Capper, Joyce Clevenger, Theodore Cooley, Douglas Kupel, Jerome Schaefer, Robert Thompson, Janet Townsend, and Michael Waters. 1986. The Archaeology of Picacho Basin, Southeast California. Prepared by Wirth Environmental Services, Division of Dames & Moore, San Diego. Prepared for San Diego Gas & Electric, San Diego, California.
- Pittman, Ruth. 1995. Roadside History of California. Mountain Press Publishing Company: Missoula, Montana.
- Rice, Richard B., William A. Bullough, and Richard J. Orsi. 1996. The Elusive Eden, a New History of California. The McGraw-Hill Companies: New York.
- Riddell, Francis A., and William H. Olsen. 1969. An Early Man Site in the San Joaquin Valley. American Antiquity 4(2), pages 121–130.
- Rincon. 2021. SCE Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161kV Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project Cultural Resources Assessment. October 2021.
- Ritter, Eric W. 1981. Archaeological Appraisal of the Palen Dry Lake Area of Critical Environmental Concern, Riverside, California. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, by WESTEC and on file at the EIC, University of California, Riverside.
- Riverside, County of. 2015. County of Riverside General Plan – Multipurpose Open Space Element. December 8, 2015. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan>. Site visited December 2021.
- Rogers, A. K. 2007. Effective Hydration Temperature of Obsidian: A Diffusion-Theory Analysis of Time-Dependent Hydration Rates. Journal of Archaeological Science 34, pages 656-665.
- Rogers, Malcolm J. 1939. Early Lithic Industries of the Lower Basin of the Colorado River and Adjacent Desert Areas. San Diego Museum of Man Papers No. 3.
- _____. 1945. An Outline of Yuman Prehistory. Southwestern Journal of Prehistory 1(2), pages 167–198.

- _____. 1966 *Ancient Hunters of the Far West*. Union Tribune Publishing Company, San Diego, California.
- Rondeau, Michael F., Jim Cassidy, and, and Terry L. Jones. 2007. Colonization Technologies: Fluted Projectile Points and the First Californians. In *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*, edited by Terry L. Jones and Kathryn A. Klan, pages 63–70. Altamira Press, Lanham, Maryland.
- Ross, Delmer G. 1992. *An Interpretive Guide to the Bradshaw Trail*. Tails of the Mojave Road Publishing Company: Essex, California.
- Schaefer, Jerry. 1985. Prehistoric Lithic Procurement and Land Use on the Pebble Terraces of the Mule Mountains near Palo Verde, Riverside County, California. Report prepared for the Bureau of Land Management, Palm Springs, California.
- _____. 1994a. Stuff of Creation: Recent Approaches to Ceramics Analysis in the Colorado Desert. In *Recent Research along the Lower Colorado River*, edited by Joseph A. Ezzo, pages 81–100. Proceedings from a Symposium Presented at the 59th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Anaheim, California, April 1994. Statistical Research Technical Series No. 51, Tucson, Arizona.
- _____. 1994b The Challenge of Archaeological Research in the Colorado Desert: Recent Approaches and Discoveries. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 16 (1), pages 60–80.
- _____. 2003. A Class II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Desert-Southwest Transmission Line, Colorado Desert, Riverside and Imperial Counties, California. ASM Affiliates, Inc., Encinitas, California. Technical Report prepared for Greystone Environmental Consultants, Sacramento.
- _____. 2006 A Class I Cultural Resources Inventory of the Truckhaven Geothermal Leasing Area, Imperial County, California. Report prepared for Ecology and Environmental, Inc., San Diego, California. Prepared by ASM Affiliates, Inc., Carlsbad, California.
- Schaefer, Jerry, and Don Laylander. 2007. The Colorado Desert: Ancient Adaptations to Wetlands and Wastelands. In *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*, edited by Terry L. Jones and Kathryn A. Klar. Altamira Press, Lanham, Maryland.
- Schiffer, Michael B., and Randall H. McGuire. 1982. Problems in Cultural History. In *Hohokam and Patayan: Prehistory of Southwestern Arizona*, edited by Randall H. McGuire and Michael B. Schiffer, pages 153–222. Academic Press, New York.
- Setzler, Grady. 1998. *Another Wilderness Conquered*. Palo Verde Museum Historical Society, Blythe, California.
- Singer, Clay A. 1982. Geoarcheological Analysis of Two Prehistoric Quarry-Workshop Sites in Riverside County, RIV-1814 and RIV-1819. Appendix D, in *Cultural Resource Inventory and National Register Assessment of the Southern California Edison Palo Verde to Devers Transmission Line Corridor (California Portion)*. Report prepared for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, by WESTEC Services, Inc. San Diego, California. On file at the EIC, University of California, Riverside.

- _____. 1984 The 63-kilometer Fit. In *Prehistoric Lithic Quarries and Lithic Production*, edited by J. E. Ericson and B. A. Purdy, pages 35–48. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Sutton, Mark Q., Mark E. Basgall, Jill K. Gardner, and Mark W. Allen. 2007. Advances in the Understanding of Mojave Desert Prehistory. In *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*, edited by Terry L. Jones and Kathryn A. Klar. Altamira Press, Lanham, Maryland.
- Thompson, Gerald. 1985. “Is there a Gold Field East of the Colorado?” *The La Paz Gold Rush of 1862*, *Historical Society of Southern California* 67(4), pages 345–363.
- U.S. Department of the Interior (USDI), Bureau of Mines. 1971. *Economic Evaluation of California-Nevada Iron Resources and Iron Ore Markets*. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mine Information Circular IC-8511.
- von Till Warren, Elisabeth, Robert H. Crabtree, Claude N. Warren, Martha Knack, and R. McCarty. 1980. *A Cultural Resources Overview of the Colorado Desert Planning Units*. Report on file at Eastern Information Center, University of California, Riverside.
- von Till Warren, Elizabeth, and Ralph J. Roske. 1981. *Cultural Resources of the California Desert, 1776–1880: Historic Trails and Wagon Roads*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Desert Planning Unit.
- Vredenburg, Larry M., Gary L. Shumway, and Russell D. Hartill. 1981. *Desert Fever: An Overview of Mining in the California Desert*. Living West Press, Canoga Park, California.
- Wallace, William J. 1978 Post-Pleistocene Archaeology, 9000 to 2000 B.C. In *California*, Edited by R.F. Hiezer, pages 25-36. *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol.8. W.C. Sturtevant, Weapons Center, China Lake, California.
- Warren, Claude N. 1966. The San Dieguito Type Site: M. J. Rogers’ 1938 Excavation on the San Dieguito River. *San Diego Museum Paper No. 6*, San Diego, California.
- _____. 1967. The San Dieguito Complex: A Review and Hypothesis. *American Antiquity* 32(2), pages 168–185.
- _____. 1968. Cultural Tradition and Ecological Adaptation on the Southern California Coast. In *Archaic Prehistory in the Western United States*, edited by C. Irwin-Williams, pages 1– 14. *Eastern New Mexico Contributions in Anthropology* 1(3). Portales, New Mexico.
- Warren, Claude N., and Delbert L. True. 1961. The San Dieguito Complex and Its Places in San Diego County Prehistory. *Archaeology Survey Annual Report, 1960-1961*, pages 246-291. University of California, Los Angeles.
- Warren, Claude, Gretchen Siegler, and Frank Dittner. 1998. *Paleo-Indian and Early Archaic Periods*. In *Prehistoric and Historic Archaeology of Metropolitan San Diego: A Historic Background Study*. Prepared by ASM Affiliates Inc. for Metropolitan Wastewater, San Diego. Screen check draft.
- Waters, Michael R. 1982a. Lowland Patayan Ceramic Tradition. In *Hohokam and Patayan: Prehistory of Southwestern Arizona*, edited by Randall H. McGuire and Michael B. Schiffer, pages 275–297. Academic Press, New York.

- Whitley, David S. 1996. A Guide to Rock Art Sites: Southern California and Nevada. Mountain Press Publishing Company, Missoula, Montana.
- Wilke, Philip J. 1978. Late Prehistoric Human Ecology at Lake Cahuilla, Coachella Valley, California. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility, No. 38. Berkeley, California.
- Wilson, Art. 2008. Runways in the Sand: The History of Blythe Army Air Base in World War II. Self-published, Blythe, California.
- Wilson, Eldred D. 1961. Gold Placers and Placering in Arizona, Arizona Geological Survey, Bulletin 168.
- Zimmerman, Kelly, Catherine Lewis Pruett, and Mark Q. Sutton. 1989. A Clovis-Like Point from the Southern Sierra Nevada, California. Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology 11(1), pages 89–91.

9.1.7 Energy

- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan and Zoning Map for City of Blythe. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/290/Zoning-Map---City-Limits>. Site visited December 2021.
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2017. California Emission Estimator Model (CalEEMod) User's Guide version 2016.3.2. November 2017.
- California Energy Commission (CEC). 2021a. 2020 Total System Electric Generation. Online. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation>. Site visited December 2021.
- _____. 2021b. "Supply and Demand of Natural Gas in California." Online. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/californias-natural-gas-market/supply-and-demand-natural-gas-california>. Site visited December 2021.
- _____. 2021c. "California Retail Fuel Outlet Annual Reporting (CEC-A15) Results." Online. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/transportation-energy/california-retail-fuel-outlet-annual-reporting>. Site visited December 2021.
- _____. 2024a. "Electricity Consumption by Entity for Year 2022." Online. www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbyutil.aspx. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2024b. "Electricity Consumption by County for Year 2022." Online. www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbycounty.aspx. Site visited February 2024.
- California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA). 2024. Datasets Catalog – Fuel Data. Online. <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/dataportal/catalog.htm?search=gasoline>. Site visited February 2024.
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA). 2018. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA Report No. 0123. Online. https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf. Site visited December 2021.

Riverside, County of. 2015. County of Riverside General Plan – Multipurpose Open Space Element. December 8, 2015. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/General-Plan-Zoning/General-Plan>. Site visited December 2021.

_____. 2019. County of Riverside Climate Action Plan Update. November 2019. Online. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/CAP/2019/2019_CAP_Update_Full.pdf. Site visited December 2021.

9.1.8 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). 2015. Guidelines for Electrical Transmission Line Structural Loading, ASCE Manual No. 74.

Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Accessed January 2024.

California Department of Conservation (DOC). 2024. Reported California Landslides. <https://cadoc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=bc48ad40e3504134a1fc8f3909659041>. Accessed January 2024.

California Geological Survey (CGS). 2024. CGS Seismic Hazards Program Liquefaction Zones Map. <https://www.arcgis.com/apps/mapviewer/index.html?layers=d5e0710599684346ae25ca9c4c943fce>. Accessed January 2024.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2021. The National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Overview. https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_nehrp-overview-factsheet_05-13-21.pdf. Accessed January 2024.

Geotechnical Soilutions Inc. 2023. Geotechnical Investigation Report. Proposed Eagle Mountain-Blythe (WALC), 161 kV Transmission Line Riverside County, California, For Southern California Edison, SCE Project No. 15-554.

Jefferson, G. T. 1991a. A Catalogue of Late Quaternary Vertebrates from California: Part One. Non-marine Lower Vertebrate and Avian Taxa. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Technical Reports Number 5, Los Angeles.

_____. 1991b. A Catalogue of Late Quaternary Vertebrates from California: Part Two. Mammals. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Technical Reports Number 7, Los Angeles.

Jennings, C.W., 1967, Geologic map of California: Salton Sea sheet, scale 1: 250,000: California Division of Mines and Geology.

McLeod, S.A. 2009. Paleontological Resources for the Proposed Palen Solar Power Project, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County.

Norris, R.M., and Webb, R.W., 1976, Geology of California: New York, John Wiley & Sons.

Riverside, County of. 2019. General Plan Safety Element. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/2019/elements/Ch06_Safety_080619.pdf. Accessed January 2024.

- _____. 2015. General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element.
https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/general_plan_2016/elements/Ch05_MOSE_120815.pdf?ver=2016-04-01-100801-367. Accessed January 2024.
- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP). 2010. Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Impact Mitigation Guidelines Revision Committee. https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines-1.pdf. Accessed January 2024.
- State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). 2024. Rusle K Values.
https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/docs/constpermits/guidance/k_factor_map.pdf. Accessed January 2024.
- Stone, P., 2006, Geologic map of the West Half of the Blythe 30 by 60 quadrangle, Riverside County, California and La Paz County, Arizona: Scientific Investigations Map 2922.
- Storie, Raymond Earl and W. Wallace Weir. 1951. Generalized Soil Map of California. Berkeley, California: University of California, Division of Agricultural Sciences.
- United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR). 1992. Characteristics and problems of Collapsible Soil. <https://www.usbr.gov/tsc/techreferences/rec/R9202.pdf>. Accessed January 2024.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2002. Wind Erodibility Groups (I).
https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/Agency/SD/Archived_winderos_100415.pdf. Accessed January 2024.
- _____. 2004. Understanding Soil Risks and Hazards Using Soil Survey to Identify Areas with Risks and Hazards to Human Life and Property. <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/soil-risks-and-hazards.pdf>. Accessed January 2024.
- United States Department of the Interior. 2000. Assessment of Fossil Management on Federal and Indian Lands.
https://www.blm.gov/sites/default/files/programs_paleontology_quick%20links_Assessment%20of%20Fossil%20Management%20on%20Federal%20%26%20Indian%20Lands%2C%20May%202000.pdf. Accessed January 2024.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2008. Modeling Soil Moisture in the Mojave Desert.
<https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2008/1100/of2008-1100.pdf>. Accessed January 2024.
- _____. 2024. What Is a Fault and What are the Different Types. <https://www.usgs.gov/faqs/what-fault-and-what-are-different-types>. Accessed January 2024.

9.1.9 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

- Association for Environmental Professionals (AEP). 2016. Field Guide to New CEQA Greenhouse Gas Thresholds and Climate Action Plan Targets for California. Available online at:
https://califaep.org/docs/AEP-2016_Final_White_Paper.pdf. Accessed April 2023.
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. 2023. CalEEMod. Available online at:
<http://www.caleemod.com>. Accessed April 2023.

- California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2018. Safeguarding California Plan: 2018 Update – California’s Climate Adaptation Strategy. Available online at: <https://files.resources.ca.gov/climate/safeguarding/>. Accessed April 2023.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. A Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Available at https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4_syr_full_report.pdf. Accessed April 2023.
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD). 2020. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines. Available online at: <https://www.mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/8510/637406182097070000>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2019a. County of Riverside Climate Action Plan Update. November 2019. Available online at: https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/CAP/2019/2019_CAP_Update_Full.pdf. Accessed December 2021.
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). 2020. Certified Final Program Environmental Impact Report for Connect SoCal – The 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy of the Southern California Association of Governments. SCH#20199011061. May 2020. Available online at: <https://scag.ca.gov/peir>. Accessed January 2022.
- Southern California Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2023a. CEQA. Available online at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2023b. Greenhouse Gases CEQA Significance Thresholds. Available online at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/ghg-significance-thresholds/page/2>. Accessed April 2023
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2023. Final Rule for Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases. Available online at: <https://www.epa.gov/regulations-emissions-vehicles-and-engines/final-rule-mandatory-reporting-greenhouse-gases>. Accessed April 2023.

9.1.10 Hazards and Hazardous Materials

- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan Safety Element. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Site visited April 2023.
- California Department of Conservation (DOC). 2023. Mines Online. Online. <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/mol/index.html>. Site visited April 2023.
- California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE). 2024. Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility Area. Online. <https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/community-wildfire-preparedness-and-mitigation/fire-hazard-severity-zones>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Inter-Utility Coordinating Committee. 2018. California Temporary Traffic Control Handbook. Online. <https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/tcm.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.

- California Office of Emergency Services (OES). 2023. Cal OES GIS Data Hub: Natural Gas Pipelines. Online. <https://gis-calema.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/CalEMA::natural-gas-pipelines/explore?location=35.026219%2C-117.799847%2C12.68>. Site visited March 2023.
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). 2023. EnviroStor database. Online. <https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/>. Site visited April 2023.
- EDR. 2023. EDR Area/Corridor Report, Eagle Mountain-Blythe Project. Blythe, California.
- North American Wood Pole Council. 2022. Wood Pole Preservatives. Online. <https://woodpoles.org/Why-Wood-Poles/Preservatives>. Site visited January 2022.
- Riverside, County of. 2015. General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element. Online. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/general_Plan_2017/elements/OCT17/Ch05_MOSE_120815.pdf?ver=2017-10-11-102103-833. Site visited April 2023.
- _____. 2018. Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. Online. https://rivcoready.org/sites/g/files/aldnop181/files/About%20EMD/pdf/FINAL%20PUBLIC%20VERSION%20Riv_Co_%202018%20Multi%20Jurisdictional%20Local%20Hazard%20Mitigation%20Plan.pdf. Site visited April 2023.
- _____. 2019. Emergency Operations Plan. <https://orange-arrrl.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/RivCo-Emergency-Operations-Plan.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2021. General Plan Safety Element. Online. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/2021/elements/Ch06_Safety_092821.pdf. Site visited April 2023.
- Riverside, County of. 2004. Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) Current Compatibility Plans. Online. <https://rcaluc.org/current-compatibility-plans>. Site visited April 2023.
- _____. 2023. Welcome to the Airport Land Use Commission. Online. <https://rcaluc.org/>. Site visited March 2023.
- Riverside County Planning Department and U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management. 2015. Blythe Mesa Solar Project. Online. https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/nepa/66074/80285/93358/BMSP_Final_EIR-EA_Volume_VI_2015_508.pdf. Site visited February 2024.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2023. About South Coast AQMD. Online. <http://www.aqmd.gov/nav/about>. Site visited March 2023.
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 2021. GeoTracker. Online. <https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/>. Site visited April 2023.

9.1.11 Hydrology and Water Quality

- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2004a. Chuckwalla Valley Groundwater Basin. Online. https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Groundwater-Management/Bulletin-118/Files/2003-Basin-Descriptions/7_005_ChuckwallaValley.pdf#:~:text=This%20basin%20underlies%20the%20Pale

- n%20and%20Chuckwalla%20Valleys,the%20Mule%20and%20McCoy%20Mountains%20on%20the%20east,. Site accessed May 2023.
- _____. 2004b. Palo Verde Mesa Groundwater Basin. Online. https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Groundwater-Management/Bulletin-118/Files/2003-Basin-Descriptions/7_039_PaloVerdeMesa.pdf. Site accessed May 2023.
- _____. 2023a. State Groundwater Management Areas GIS Data Viewer. Online. <https://sgma.water.ca.gov/webgis/index.jsp?appid=gasmaster&rz=true>. Site accessed May 2023.
- _____. 2023b. Well Completion Report Map Application. Online. <https://dwr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=181078580a214c0986e2da28f8623b37>. Site accessed May 2023.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2023. National Flood Hazard Layer. Online. <https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps/national-flood-hazard-layer>. Site accessed May 8, 2023
- Geotechnical Solutions, Inc. 2023. Geotechnical Investigation Report.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). 2023. Water Quality Control Plan for the Colorado River Basin. Online. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/coloradoriver/water_issues/programs/basin_planning/docs/2023/rb7-basin-plan-e032023.pdf. Site accessed May 2023.
- Riverside, County of. 2015. County of Riverside General Plan. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/general-plan-and-zoning/riverside-county-general-plan>. Site accessed May 2023.
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 2022. 303(d)-listed constituents. Online. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_quality_assessment/2020_2022_integrated_report.html. Site accessed May 2023.

9.1.12 Land Use and Planning

- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan 2025. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/27/Planning-Zoning>.
- _____. 2024. Code of Ordinances, Title 17-Zoning, Division 2 – Zones. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/27/Planning-Zoning>.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2016. DRECP LUPA. <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/66459/570>.
- Riverside, County of. 2021. General Plan Land Use Element. <https://planning.rctlma.org/general-plan-and-zoning/riverside-county-general-plan>.
- _____. 2024. Ordinance No. 348. <https://rivcocob.org/ordinances>.

9.1.13 Mineral Resources

- Blythe, City of. 2007. Open Space and Conservation Element. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Accessed April 2023.

- California Department of Conservation (DOC). 2023a. Mines Online. Available online at: <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/mol/index.html>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2023b. Geologic Energy Management Division. 2023. CalGEM Well Finder. Available online at: <https://www.conservation.ca.gov/calgem/Pages/WellFinder.aspx>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2022. Mine Reclamation Statutes and Regulations. Available online at: <https://www.conservation.ca.gov/index/Documents/DMR-SR-1%20Web%20Copy.pdf>. Accessed April 2023.
- California Geological Survey. Information Warehouse: Mineral Land Classification. Available online at: <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/mlc/>. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2002. Note 36 California Geomorphic Provinces. Available online at: https://www.coastal.ca.gov/coastalvoices/resources/California_Geomorphic_Provinces.pdf. Accessed April 2023.
- Google. Google Earth Pro 7.3.4.8248. Software. Program used April 2023.
- Riverside, County of. 2015. General Plan Multipurpose Open Space Element. Online. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/general_Plan_2017/elements/OCT17/Ch05_MOSE_120815.pdf?ver=2017-10-11-102103-833. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2023. Mapping Portal. <https://gisopendata-countyofriverside.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/CountyofRiverside::mining-claims/explore?location=33.842405%2C-115.440664%2C12.00>. Accessed April 2023.
- State Mining and Geology Board, California (SMGB). 1988. Guidelines for Classification and Designation of Mineral Lands. Available online at: <https://www.conservation.ca.gov/smgb/Guidelines/Documents/ClassDesig.pdf>. Accessed April 2023.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS). 2022. Mineral Resources Online Spatial. Available online at: <https://mrdata.usgs.gov/#mineral-resources>. Accessed April 2023.

9.1.14 Noise

- Blythe, City of. 2022. Code of Ordinances. Available online. https://www.municode.com/library/ca/blythe/codes/code_of_ordinances. Accessed April 2023.
- _____. 2007. City of Blythe General Plan 2025. Available online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302>. Accessed April 2023.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013. Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual. Available online. <http://www.cccounty.us/DocumentCenter/Home/View/34120>. Accessed April 2023.
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2006. Construction Noise Handbook. Available online at: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/. Accessed April 2023.
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA). 2018. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual. Available online at: <https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research->

innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf. Accessed April 2023.

Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission. 2004. Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. Available online. <https://www.rcaluc.org/Plans/New-Compatibility-Plan>. Accessed April 2023.

Riverside, County of. 2015. Riverside County General Plan.

_____. 2007. County of Riverside Code of Ordinances. Available online. <https://rivco.org/county-ordinances>. Accessed April 2023.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1974. Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety. Available online at: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=2000L3LN.TXT>. Accessed April 2023.

9.1.15 Population and Housing

Blythe, City of. 2004. General Plan Housing Element. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/308/Housing-Element-2004?bidId=>. Accessed April 2023.

California Department of Finance. 2023. Demographic Projections. Online. <https://dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/projections/>. Accessed April 2023.

United States. Census Bureau. 2023. Explore Census Data. Online. <https://data.census.gov/advanced>. Accessed April 2023.

_____. 2024a. Blythe City ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2020.DP05?q=blythe+city+DP05>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024b. Blythe City Decennial Census Profile of General Demographic Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table?q=blythe+city&y=2000>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024c. Blythe City Occupancy Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2020.S2501?q=blythe+city+S2501>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024d. Desert Center ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table?q=desert+center+DP05>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024e. Desert Center ACS Occupancy Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2020.S2501?q=desert+center+S2501>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024f. Mesa Verde ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table?q=mesa+verde+CDP++DP05>. Accessed February 2024.

_____. 2024g. Mesa Verde ACS Occupancy Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2020.S2501?q=mesa+verde+CDP++S2501>. Accessed February 2024.

- _____. 2024h. Riverside County ACS Occupancy Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2010.S2501?q=riverside+county+S2501>. Accessed February 2024.
- _____. 2024i. Riverside County American Community Survey (ACS) Demographic and Housing Estimates. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2010.DP05?q=riverside+county+DP05>. Accessed February 2024.
- _____. 2024j. Riverside County Decennial Census Profile of General Demographic Characteristics. Online. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2010.S2501?q=riverside+county+S2501>. Accessed February 2024.
- Riverside, County of. 2021. General Plan 2021-2029 Housing Element. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/2023-12/Attachment%20B%20Draft%20Housing%20Element.pdf>. Accessed February 2024.
- 9.1.16 Public Services**
- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan and Zoning Map for City of Blythe. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/290/Zoning-Map---City-Limits>. Site visited December 2021.
- California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE). 2021 Annual Report. Online. <https://www.rvcfire.org/pdf/annualreport/2021%20ANNUAL%20REPORT%20STATS.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2023. California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Online. <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/safety-programs/camuted>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Inter-Utility Coordinating Committee. 2018. California Temporary Traffic Control Handbook. Online. <https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/tcm.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Federal Interagency Communication Center (FICC). 2021. FICC. Online. <https://gacc.nifc.gov/oscc/ecc/ficc/about.php>. Site visited January 2022.
- Riverside, County of. 2021. County of Riverside General Plan. Chapter 6 Safety Element. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-genplan-2021-elements-Ch06-Safety-092821.pdf>. Site visited January 2022.
- _____. 2019. Desert Quartzite Solar Project Final EIR. Online. <https://ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/2015031066/3>. Site visited February 2024.

9.1.17 Recreation

- Blythe, City of. 2007. City of Blythe General Plan 2025. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Site visited February 2024.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM) National NEPA Register. 2016a. Appendix C Special Recreation Management Area and Extensive Recreation Management Area – Special Unit Management

- Plans. Online.
https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/lup/66459/133477/163157/Appendix_C_SRMA_ERM_A_Special_Unit_Management_Plans.pdf. Site visited February 2024.
- California Inter-Utility Coordinating Committee. 2018. California Temporary Traffic Control Handbook. Online. <https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/tcm.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Conservation Biology Institute Data Basin. 2024. SRMA/ERMA Designations, DRECP Proposed LUPA and Final EIS, Preferred Alternative. Online.
<https://databasin.org/maps/new/#datasets=a3a2288f21234b1e844fcac0e8c4c915>. Site visited February 2024.
- National Park Service. 2020. Joshua Tree National Park Map. Online.
<https://www.nps.gov/carto/hfc/carto/media/JOTRmap1.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2024. Joshua Tree Basic Information. Online
<https://www.nps.gov/jotr/planyourvisit/basicinfo.htm>. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside, County of. 2015. Planning Department: Chapter 5 Multipurpose Open Space Element. Online.
<https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-genplan-general-Plan-2017-elements-OCT17-Ch05-MOSE-120815.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside County Regional Park and Open-Space District. 2024. Explore RivCo Parks. Online.
<https://rivcoparks.org/regional-parks-campgrounds>. Site visited February 2024.
- United States Department of Interior. 2021. BLM CA Administrative Unit Boundary Polygons. Online.
<https://gbp-blm-egis.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/BLM-EGIS::blm-ca-administrative-unit-boundary-polygons/explore>. Site visited February 2024.

9.1.18 Transportation

- Blythe, City of. 2007. City of Blythe General Plan. Online.
<https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/302/General-Plan-2025---Entire-Documents?bidId=>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Inter-Utility Coordinating Committee. 2018. California Temporary Traffic Control Handbook. Online. <https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/tcm.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2017. 2017 Traffic Volumes. Online.
<https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/census/traffic-volumes/2017>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2022. District 8 Active Transportation Plan 2022. Online. <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/transportation-planning/documents/active-transportation-complete-streets/caltrans-reconnecting-communities-program/d8-finalactivetransportationplan-a11y.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2023. California Public Road Data 2022. Online. https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/research-innovation-system-information/documents/hpms2022_prd_final.pdf. Site visited February 2024.

- Governor's Office of Planning and Research. 2018. Technical Advisory on Evaluation Transportation Impacts in CEQA. Online. https://opr.ca.gov/docs/20190122-743_Technical_Advisory.pdf. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside, County of. 2020a. Traffic Counts. Online. <https://trans.rctlma.org/traffic-counts>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2020b. General Plan, Circulation Element. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/sites/g/files/aldnop416/files/migrated/Portals-14-genplan-2019-elements-Ch04-Circulation-072720v2.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside County Transportation Commission. 2019. Riverside County Long Range Transportation Study. Online. <https://www.rctc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/RCTC-Draft-LRTS-120119-GV22.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Southern California Association of Governments. 2020. Connect SoCal. Online. https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal-plan_0.pdf?1606001176. Site visited February 2024.
- United States Census Bureau. 2022. Population Estimates, July 1, 2022. Online. <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/blythecitycalifornia,riversidecountycalifornia/PST045222>. Site visited February 2024.

9.1.19 Tribal Cultural Resources

- AECOM. 2017. Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Proposed Southern California Edison Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161kv Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project, Riverside County, California. September 2017.
- Bean, Lowell J., and Sylvia Brakke Vane. 1978. Persistence and Power: A Study of Native American Peoples in the Sonoran Desert and Devers-Palo Verde High Voltage Transmission Line. Report submitted to Southern California Edison Company. Cultural Systems Research: Menlo Park, California.
- Bee, Robert L. 1983. Quechan. In *Southwest*, edited by A. Ortiz, pages 86–98. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 10, W. C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
- Castetter, Edward F., and Willis H. Bell. 1951. Yuman Indian Agriculture: Primitive Subsistence on the Lower Colorado and Gila Rivers. University of New Mexico Press.
- Cook, John R., Michael Baksh, and Stephen R. Van Wormer. 1997. Jacumba Valley Ranch Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation (Appendix F of Cultural Resources Draft Environmental Impact Report for Jacumba Valley Ranch Specific Plan [SP91-003, P91-012, Log #89-22-3]). Report prepared by Mooney & Associates for Jacumba Valley Ranch, San Diego, California.
- Forde, C. Daryll. 1931. Ethnography of the Yuma Indians. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 28(4), pages 83-278.
- Gifford, Edward W. 1931. The Kamia of Imperial Valley. *Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin* 97. Washington, D.C.

- Hedges, Ken. 1975. Notes on the Kumeyaay: A Problem of Identification. *The Journal of California Anthropology* 2(1), pages 71–83. Kroeber, Alfred L. 1925. *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Bulletin 78 of the Bureau of American Ethnology, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C. (Reprinted Edition, Dover Publications, New York, 1976).
- Kelly, Isabel T., and Catherine S. Fowler. 1986. Southern Paiute. In *Handbook of North American Indians*. Vol. 11, Great Basin, edited by Warren L. d'Azevedo, pages 368-397. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.
- Langdon, Margaret. 1975. Kamia and Kumeyaay: A Linguistic Perspective. *The Journal of California Archaeology* 2(1), pages 64–70.
- Luomala, Katherine. 1978. Tipai-Ipai. In *California*, edited by R. F. Heizer, pages 592–609. *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol. 8, W. C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
- Rincon. 2021. SCE Eagle Mountain-Blythe 161kV Transmission Line Rating Remediation Project Cultural Resources Assessment. October 2021.
- Schaefer, Jerry. 2006. A Class I Cultural Resources Inventory of the Truckhaven Geothermal Leasing Area, Imperial County, California. Report prepared for Ecology and Environmental, Inc., San Diego, California. Prepared by ASM Affiliates, Inc., Carlsbad, California.
- Singer, Clay A. 1984. The 63-kilometer Fit. In *Prehistoric Lithic Quarries and Lithic Production*, edited by J. E. Ericson and B. A. Purdy, pages 35–48. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Stone, Connie, L. 1991. Economy and Warfare along the Lower Colorado River. In *The Protohistoric Period in the North American Southwest, A.D. 1450-1700*, edited by Davie R. Wilcox and Bruce Masse, pages 183-197. *Anthropological Research Papers No. 24*, Arizona State University, Tempe.
- Woods, C. M. 1986. *The Archaeology of Creation: Native American Ethnology of Cultural Resources at Pilot Knob*. Report prepared for Bureau of Land Management, El Centro, California.

9.1.20 Utilities and Service Systems

- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan and Zoning Map for City of Blythe. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/290/Zoning-Map---City-Limits>. Site visited December 2021.
- _____. 2020. Urban Water Management Plan. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/1657/2020-Urban-Water-Management-Plan-Final-Draft-?bidId=>. Site visited January 2022.
- _____. 2023. Streets. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/76/Streets>. Site visited February 2024.
- CalRecycle. 2016. Solid Waste Information System Facility Detail- Blythe Sanitary Landfill (33-AA-0017). Online. <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/SolidWaste/SiteActivity/Details/2256?siteID=2378>. Site visited May 2023.

- _____. 2018. Solid Waste Information System Facility Detail- Desert Center Sanitary Landfill (33-AA-0016). Online. <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/SolidWaste/SiteActivity/Details/2255?siteID=2377>. Site visited January 2022.
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD). 2023. The Colorado Rive Aqueduct. Online. <https://www.mwdh2o.com/colorado-river-aqueduct-map>. Site visited February 2024.
- Palo Verde Irrigation District (PVID). 2021. Welcome to Palo Verde Irrigation District. Online. <https://www.pvid.org/Default.aspx>. Site visited January 2022.
- Riverside, County of. 2015a. County of Riverside General Plan – Healthy Communities Element. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/general-plan-and-zoning/riverside-county-general-plan>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2015b. Desert Center Area Plan. Online. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/genplan/general_Plan_2017/areaplans/DCAP_120815m.pdf?ver=2017-10-11-101710-550. Site visited January 2022.
- _____. 2020. 2020 Urban Water Management Plan. Online. <https://riversideca.gov/utilities/about-rpu/urban-water-management-plan>. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside County Office of Economic Development. 2021. County Service Areas. Online. <https://rivcoed.org/csa>. Site visited January 2022.
- Riverside Local Agency Formation Commission. 2019. Countywide Water and Wastewater Municipal Service Review – Volume 3. Online. [http://lafco.org/wp-content/uploads/documents/msr-2018-2022/Coachella%20Valley%20Region%20\(5-23\).pdf](http://lafco.org/wp-content/uploads/documents/msr-2018-2022/Coachella%20Valley%20Region%20(5-23).pdf). Site visited January 2022.
- SoCalGas. 2021. Natural Gas Pipeline Map. Online. <https://www.socalgas.com/stay-safe/pipeline-and-storage-safety/natural-gas-pipeline-map>. Site visited January 2022.
- State of California. 2022. Interactive Broadband Map. Online. <https://broadbandmap.ca.gov/>. Site visited February 2024.

9.1.21 Wildfire

- Blythe, City of. 2007. General Plan 2025. Online. <https://www.cityofblythe.ca.gov/27/Planning-Zoning>. Site visited February 2024.
- California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CALFIRE). 2019. 2019 Strategic Plan. Online. <https://www.fire.ca.gov/about/cal-fire-strategic-plan-2024>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2020. California Power Line Fire Prevention Field Guide. https://www.osfm.fire.ca.gov/media/11015/2020-power-line-fire-prevention-field-guide_20200818.pdf
- _____. 2023a. FHSZ Viewer. Online. <https://egis.fire.ca.gov/FHSZ/>. Site visited May 2023.
- _____. 2023b. Historic Fire Perimeters. Online. <https://www.fire.ca.gov/what-we-do/fire-resource-assessment-program/fire-perimeters>. Site visited May 2023.

- California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). 2023. Fire-threat Maps and Fire-Safety Rulemaking. Online. <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/wildfires/fire-threat-maps-and-fire-safety-rulemaking>. Site visited February 2024.
- LANDFIRE. 2023. LANDFIRE Program: Get Data. Online. <https://landfire.gov/getdata.php>. Site visited May 2023.
- Riverside County Fire Department (RCFD). 2009. Strategic Plan 2009-2029. Online. <https://rvcfire.org/pdf/strategic-planning/StrategicPlan2009.pdf?v=6044>. Site visited February 2024.
- Riverside, County of. 2021. General Plan Safety Element. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/general-plan-and-zoning/riverside-county-general-plan>. Site visited February 2024.
- _____. 2023. Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. Online. <https://rivcoready.org/sites/g/files/alnopl81/files/2023-08/MJLHMP%208.7.23.pdf>. Site visited February 2024.
- Southern California Edison (SCE). 2023. Wildfire Mitigation Plan. Online. https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/AEM/Wildfire%20Mitigation%20Plan/2023-2025/2023-03-27_SCE_2023_WMP_R0.pdf. Site visited February 2024.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2023. Fire Effects Information System: Online. <https://www.feis-crs.org/feis/>. Site visited June 2023.

9.1.22 Cumulative and Other CEQA

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2019a. Final Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Resource Management Plan Amendments for the Ten West Link Transmission Line Project. Online. https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/nepa/59013/20003312/250003944/Final_EIS_Ten_West_Link.pdf. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- _____. 2019b. Desert Quartzsite Solar Project Final Plan Amendment/Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report. Online. https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/154021-3/attachment/E8NZGiEUx4TP_TI87xZKvrhnqVHYmuilolp_bCIIgcy6i8gMidGBKXVvY2E5npR2GoZG7mYPzXnatHfG0. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- _____. 2024. Structure Repair for Red Cloud Mill CA-RIV-1219. Online. <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2017867/510>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- California Department of Transportation. 2024. Caltrans Project Portal. Online. <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/asset-management/caltrans-project-portal>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- California Public Utilities Commission. CPUC Current Project. Online. <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/permitting-and-environmental-review/current-project>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- EDF Renewables North America. 2024a. Desert Quartzsite Solar and Storage. Online. <https://www.edf-re.com/project/desert-Quartzsite/>. Site visited February 12, 2024.

- _____. 2024b. Sapphire Solar and Storage Project. Online. <https://www.edf-re.com/project/sapphire-solar-project/>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- Riverside County Planning Department. 2023. Notice of Preparation of Draft Environmental Impact Report and Scoping Meeting. Online. <https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/287768-1/attachment/r1qIIjaBt7baQS3gm8rlykiEC9IIRNTsF9Z2UuNUntk2FDYH0m9SrMgfk1OC7xP35g5AveVRC2qFnAtg0>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- _____. 2024a. Draft Environmental Impact Report for Easley Renewable Energy Project. Online. <https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/283085-2/attachment/OSofH50fEID2wiDTCPh8823xy7skqRVceM0rrp7jMvva15ydKSjrF-F7U1xyqtpAJb3qzYcsEY8UwqbQ0>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- _____. 2024b. Projects. Online. <https://planning.rctlma.org/projects>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- State of California. 2024. CEQAnet Web Portal. Online. <https://ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/Search?County=Riverside>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- State Water Resources Control Board. 2013. Eagle Mountain Pumped Storage Project, FERC #13123 Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Online. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/water_quality_cert/eaglemtn13123_eir.shtml. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- Sunlight Storage II, LLC. 2023. Sunlight Storage II Plan of Development. Online. https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/2023119/200546548/20078441/250084623/Sunlight%20Storage%20II%20BESS%20POD_acc.pdf. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- Ten West Link. 2022. About the Project. Online. <https://tenwestlink.com/project-info/>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2024. BLM National NEPA. Register. Online. <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/home>. Site visited February 12, 2024.
- United States Department of the Interior. 2023. Biden-Harris Administration Celebrates Groundbreaking of New Transmission Line, Delivering Clean, Reliable, Affordable Energy to Millions of Americans. Online. <https://www.doi.gov/pressreleases/biden-harris-administration-celebrates-groundbreaking-new-transmission-line-delivering>. Site visited February 12, 2024.